



January 2021

Policy on Developing Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs)

The FRC's purpose is to serve the public interest by setting high standards of corporate governance, reporting and audit and by holding to account those responsible for delivering them. The FRC sets the UK Corporate Governance and Stewardship Codes and UK standards for accounting and actuarial work; monitors and takes action to promote the quality of corporate reporting; and operates independent enforcement arrangements for accountants and actuaries. As the Competent Authority for audit in the UK the FRC sets auditing and ethical standards and monitors and enforces audit quality.

The FRC does not accept any liability to any party for any loss, damage or costs howsoever arising, whether directly or indirectly, whether in contract, tort or otherwise from any action or decision taken (or not taken) as a result of any person relying on or otherwise using this document or arising from any omission from it.

© The Financial Reporting Council Limited 2021
The Financial Reporting Council Limited is a company limited by guarantee.
Registered in England number 2486368. Registered Office:
8th Floor, 125 London Wall, London EC2Y 5AS



Financial Reporting Council

January 2021

Policy on Developing Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs)

Contents

	Page
Overview	3
Policy on Developing Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs)	4
Overarching criteria for developing a SORP	4
Becoming a SORP-making body	4
Development of SORPs	5
Annual review	11
Withdrawal of the FRC's Statement on the SORP	12
Additional guidance	12
FRC Governance	13
Appendix	
Due process for developing or revising a SORP – summary flowchart	14

Overview

- (i) This document sets out the Financial Reporting Council's (FRC) policy on developing Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs), with effect from 1 January 2021. This Policy replaces the FRC's Policy on Developing Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs) dated October 2018.
- (ii) The FRC is a prescribed body for issuing accounting standards in the UK and the Republic of Ireland. As Competent Authority for statutory audit in the UK the FRC sets technical auditing standards and ethical standards for auditors. The FRC also sets technical actuarial standards in the UK.
- (iii) SORPs are sector-driven recommendations on financial reporting, auditing practices or actuarial practices for specialised industries, sectors or areas of work, or which supplement FRC standards and other legal and regulatory requirements in the light of special factors prevailing or transactions undertaken in that particular industry, sector or area of work that are not addressed in FRC standards. SORPs also address matters that are addressed in FRC standards, but about which additional guidance is considered necessary. When there are policy options in FRC standards, a SORP may recommend the most appropriate option to the particular industry or sector.
- (iv) SORPs may only be issued by 'SORP-making bodies'. A SORP-making body is a body that has been recognised by the FRC for the purposes of producing the SORP for a particular industry or sector. SORP-making bodies have a responsibility to act in the public interest when developing, maintaining and issuing SORPs.
- (v) To be recognised as a SORP-making body, a particular industry or sectoral body must meet criteria set by the FRC and must agree to develop and maintain SORPs in accordance with this Policy.
- (vi) A SORP must carry a Statement by the FRC confirming, as appropriate, that the SORP does not appear to contain any fundamental points of principle that are unacceptable in the context of current financial reporting practice, auditing practice or actuarial practice, nor does it conflict with an FRC standard or undermine the FRC's broader objectives.

Policy on Developing Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs)

Overarching criteria for developing a SORP

- 1 The FRC will consider authorising the development of a SORP in support of FRC standards if the circumstances warrant it, for example if one or more of the following factors are present:
 - (a) there are indications that issuing a SORP will lead to higher quality financial reporting, auditing or actuarial work or conversely a risk of unacceptable quality if a SORP is not issued;
 - (b) there is evidence or a risk of inconsistent practice across different entities overall or within a particular industry leading to an unacceptable lack of comparability;
 - (c) there is an industry or sector specific need for recommended practice;
 - (d) changes within a particular industry or sector mean that an FRC standard requires additional application guidance; or
 - (e) there is a recognised need in the public interest to establish a benchmark for accountability of professionals.
- 2 In certain circumstances, the FRC may, at its sole discretion, decide that it would be most appropriate if the additional guidance is issued by the FRC, rather than another body. The sorts of circumstances envisaged include:
 - (a) the additional guidance is necessary to secure compliance with international obligations;
 - (b) the intention is to supplement standards through additional guidance;
 - (c) allowing the additional guidance to be issued by a trade, representative or professional body could create a real or perceived conflict of interest;
 - (d) the public interest lies in maintaining independence from the profession; or
 - (e) a speedy response from the FRC is needed, at least in the first instance.

Becoming a SORP-making body

- 3 The FRC may recognise bodies for the purpose of developing and issuing SORPs. Bodies will only be recognised where the following criteria are met:
 - (a) the industry or sector represented by the body in question has special financial reporting, auditing or actuarial issues and the application or interpretation of FRC standards requires clarification in order to deal with those issues;
 - (b) the body in question represents the whole or a major part of the industry or sector;
 - (c) the body shares the FRC's aim of:
 - (i) high-quality understandable financial reporting, proportionate to the size and complexity of the entity and users' information needs; or
 - (ii) high-quality robust and independent audit, proportionate to the needs, size and complexity of the entity and users' information needs; or
 - (iii) high-quality actuarial work.
 - (d) the body agrees to abide by this Policy in developing its SORP;
 - (e) the body commits to reviewing its SORP in line with this Policy; and

- (f) when an industry or sector is regulated or financed by another body, the regulator or financing body has confirmed in writing that it is content for the body seeking recognition by the FRC as a SORP-making body to promulgate SORPs for that industry or sector.
- 4 The FRC may, at its sole discretion, withdraw recognition of a SORP-making body if it considers that the above criteria are no longer met, or if the SORP-making body fails to act in accordance with this Policy.
 - 5 In the event that the FRC withdraws recognition of a SORP-making body, it may publish that fact if it considers that publicity is necessary or desirable in the public interest.

Development of SORPs

- 6 Industry and sector bodies that wish to develop SORPs must first secure recognition by the FRC as a SORP-making body. Once recognition as a SORP-making body has been granted, a body may proceed to develop a new SORP.
- 7 The SORP-making body shall provide the FRC with an appropriate point of contact for the purposes of developing and maintaining a SORP.

Developing or revising a SORP

- 8 The due process for developing or revising a SORP is presented as a summary flowchart in the Appendix.

Scope

- 9 A SORP shall specify the types of entity or work to which the SORP is intended to apply.
- 10 Before starting work on the development or revision of a SORP, a SORP-making body shall seek approval from the FRC. This aims to ensure:
 - (a) agreement over the nature and scope of the SORP; and
 - (b) that the SORP's recommendations do not overlap with an FRC project or address a matter that the FRC would prefer to deal with itself.
- 11 When entities or work may fall within the scope of more than one SORP, the SORP to be applied will usually be the SORP with the more specific application. In such circumstances, the SORP-making body shall agree with the FRC and the other relevant SORP-making bodies which SORP should apply and identify this clearly within the relevant SORPs.

FRC standards

- 12 SORPs shall be developed in line with current FRC standards and best practice. The provisions of a SORP cannot override the provisions of the law, regulatory requirements or FRC standards.
- 13 When a more recently issued FRC standard, a change in legislation or other development creates a conflict with the provisions of an existing SORP, the relevant provisions of the SORP will cease to have effect. The SORP-making body is responsible for updating the relevant provisions within the SORP on a timely basis to bring them into line with new FRC standards or legislation or to withdraw them as appropriate.

14 Failure to update a SORP does not exempt reporting entities or practitioners from applying the FRC standards effective at the relevant date. Entities that operate in the public sector or under specific legislative regimes should be guided by those regimes in assessing whether they are prevented or exempt from complying with this requirement.

15 SORP-making bodies should include in their SORP a statement setting out the status of the SORP with reference to FRC standards, along the following lines:

“Entities or work complying with this SORP shall apply the [accounting/auditing/actuarial] standards applicable at the relevant reporting date (which does not preclude early application when permitted). When the current edition of this SORP predates a change in legislation or [accounting/auditing/actuarial] standards and a conflict is thereby created, or other developments lead to a conflict, the affected provisions of this SORP cease to have effect.”

SORP recommendations

16 A SORP shall clearly indicate those areas that relate to the subject matter of FRC standards and those that relate to other information to be provided in a document containing that subject matter. A SORP shall clearly indicate which recommendations provide guidance on applying FRC standards and which go beyond the application of FRC standards (for example by recommending additional disclosure).

17 A SORP shall include a clear and prominent statement along the following lines:

“In developing this SORP, all [accounting/auditing/actuarial] standards [and other FRC pronouncements] issued by [insert date] were considered in the development of the SORP.”

18 After considering FRC standards in their entirety, SORP-making bodies should ensure that a SORP makes clear which sections of the relevant FRC standard(s) and other pronouncements in effect at the date of publication of the SORP have been addressed in the development of the SORP, including an indication as to their relevance to the industry, sector or work in question and an explanation of how each has been dealt with in the SORP.

19 A SORP shall include adequate explanation of the main issues considered in developing the recommendations included in the SORP, for example by including a Basis for Conclusions. For SORPs issued prior to 1 April 2018, this applies prospectively from 1 April 2018.

Consistency

20 A SORP shall aim to promote consistency across the industry, sector or type of work by recommending a preferred treatment, approach or methodology. When there are policy options in FRC standards, a SORP may recommend the most appropriate option to the particular industry or sector.

21 The SORP shall also seek, when practicable and appropriate, to adopt an approach or methodology that is consistent with the approach taken in similar industries, sectors or areas of work for the same matter. As a result, the FRC will expect a degree of consistency between SORPs if they are addressing similar matters for different industries, sectors or work, unless there are good reasons for different approaches (for example, different user needs and different legal or regulatory environments). To do so, co-ordination between the relevant SORP-making bodies will be necessary.

22 The FRC will consider consistency between SORPs as part of its review.

Revising a SORP

- 23 SORPs shall be updated by the SORP-making body on a timely basis, when necessary, including in those circumstances set out in paragraph 13. A revision of a SORP may be either a comprehensive review of the SORP or limited to targeted amendments addressing discrete issues.

Governance and accountability

- 24 SORPs must be drafted by the SORP-making body itself or by a properly constituted Board and/or working party of the SORP-making body (the standing groups).
- 25 A SORP-making body shall put in place governance arrangements designed to lead to effective and transparent decision-making. Such arrangements shall include appropriate terms of reference for each standing group and a process for appointments. Consideration shall also be given to the composition of the standing groups, the length of service of the members and the need for membership to be periodically refreshed.
- 26 A SORP-making body shall inform the FRC of the standing groups it is putting in place to develop the SORP, including their membership. It shall also provide the FRC with a copy of the current terms of reference for each standing group and provide an appropriate point of contact. FRC staff shall be accorded observer status at meetings of the standing groups.
- 27 A SORP-making body may also set up ad hoc groups to advise the standing groups on specific issues. These ad hoc groups may operate under different governance arrangements compared to those standing groups.
- 28 The SORP development process must ensure effective participation by stakeholders and have sufficient technical support.
- 29 Stakeholder participation may occur throughout the SORP development process, and may be formal or informal. It includes:
- (a) membership of the standing groups;
 - (b) public consultation (see paragraph 35); and
 - (c) informal consultation and outreach.
- 30 In identifying its main stakeholder groups and determining the process for engaging with them, a SORP-making body shall consider a wide range of stakeholders. In particular, a SORP-making body shall consider the participation of:
- (a) a sufficiently broad range of representatives of the industry or sector concerned;
 - (b) those who represent the wider public interest (who should be the majority of the membership of the SORP-making body where audit SORPs are concerned), including any relevant regulators; and
 - (c) users of financial statements, audit reports or actuarial information.
- 31 A SORP-making body must provide evidence of the process followed. The FRC will not normally agree to make a Statement on the SORP unless it is satisfied that:
- (a) in developing the SORP:
 - (i) due process was followed; and
 - (ii) the development process was designed to lead to effective participation by stakeholders; and

- (b) the SORP does not contain any fundamental points of principle that are unacceptable in the context of current financial reporting practice, auditing practice or actuarial practice, nor does it conflict with an FRC standard(s) or undermine the FRC's broader objectives.

Due process

- 32 Proceedings of the SORP-making body or any standing groups shall be conducted in a spirit of openness and follow due process, typically including public consultation.
- 33 During the development or revision of a SORP, the SORP-making body is responsible for identifying potential divergence from FRC standards and must inform the FRC of any such potential divergence as soon as possible.
- 34 When a proposed SORP includes recommendations that go beyond the application of FRC standards (for example by recommending additional disclosure) the FRC will need to be satisfied that the SORP-making body has given appropriate consideration to the expected impact in terms of costs and benefits.

Consultation

- 35 When developing or revising a SORP, the SORP-making body shall determine whether public consultation is required, and agree this with the FRC.
- 36 Public consultation is required when a new SORP is developed, and when new interpretations are proposed to be made in a SORP.
- 37 When it is necessary to amend a SORP in order to reflect changes in FRC standards or in legislative or regulatory requirements, and the amendments will not involve new interpretation of those requirements or introduce other changes, the SORP-making body may agree with the FRC that:
 - (a) the requirement to publish a consultation draft would be disproportionate in the circumstances; and
 - (b) these changes can therefore be made by way of a shortened administrative procedure, after which the FRC will issue its Statement on the SORP.

Amendments made via this shortened administrative procedure do not require public consultation.

- 38 When consulting on a new SORP or changes to a SORP, the SORP-making body shall proactively and publicly seek the views of stakeholders. The preferred mechanism for public consultation is a published draft, with a minimum 12-week comment period. In certain circumstances a shorter period of consultation may be acceptable. The FRC will, however, need to be satisfied that the nature of the consultation and length of the comment period are appropriate.
- 39 In some cases, a SORP-making body may wish to seek input via a published statement of intent in advance of publishing a consultation draft. However, a published statement of intent is not an acceptable substitute for a published consultation draft and will consequently delay development of the SORP.

FRC review of consultation drafts

- 40 All consultation drafts and statements of intent shall be presented to the FRC for comment and/or review before publication. The SORP-making body must allow sufficient time for any changes sought by the FRC to be incorporated prior to publication.

- 41 The FRC will conduct a review of the consultation draft or statement of intent to determine whether the proposals:
- (a) are being developed in accordance with this Policy;
 - (b) contain fundamental points of principle that are unacceptable in the context of current practice;
 - (c) contain proposed requirements that conflict with the requirements or principles of an FRC standard; and
 - (d) support matters that fall within the FRC's broader responsibilities, to the extent this is relevant to the industry, sector or area of work in question.
- 42 This review will be conducted in accordance with the FRC's governance arrangements (see paragraphs 68 and 69).
- 43 A statement shall be included in a consultation draft or statement of intent setting out the scope of the FRC's review, along the following lines:
- "In accordance with the FRC's Policy on Developing Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs) the FRC carried out a review of the proposed SORP focusing on those aspects relevant to the [financial statements/audit report/actuarial information] but also including aspects relevant to the FRC's broader responsibilities where appropriate."*
- 44 In addition, the FRC reserves the right to require a statement to be included within a consultation draft or statement of intent indicating areas of overlap with its own work and any reservations it has regarding the content of the consultation draft or statement of intent.

Consultation responses

- 45 A SORP-making body is expected to adopt a transparent process and, accordingly, consultation responses shall be made publicly available unless confidentiality is requested by the respondent. A SORP-making body shall publish on its website all responses to public consultations. A SORP-making body should aim to publish responses as soon as possible and within 10 working days of receipt.
- 46 As part of the FRC's review of the SORP (or proposed revision to the SORP), the SORP-making body must provide the FRC with copies of consultation responses, an analysis or summary of the main comments and an indication of how the comments have been dealt with. In this way the FRC can satisfy itself that stakeholder comments have been appropriately considered.
- 47 Records retention policies for consultation responses will need to comply with the General Data Protection Regulations. The standard default retention period for consultation responses by a SORP-making body shall be no less than six years plus one (giving one year in which to dispose of the record). During this period the FRC reserves the right to give formal notice to the SORP-making body that consultation responses should be kept for a longer period, up to 20 years, for regulatory reasons.

FRC review of the SORP

- 48 All final SORPs or final amendments to a SORP shall be presented to the FRC for review before publication. The SORP-making body must allow sufficient time for any changes sought by the FRC to be incorporated prior to publication
- 49 Before issuing a Statement on the SORP, the FRC will conduct a review to determine whether the SORP:
- (a) has been developed in accordance with this Policy;

- (b) contains fundamental points of principle that are unacceptable in the context of current practice;
 - (c) contains requirements that conflict with the requirements or principles of an FRC standard; and
 - (d) supports matters that fall within the FRC's broader responsibilities, to the extent this is relevant to the industry, sector or area of work in question.
- 50 This review will be conducted in accordance with the FRC's governance arrangements (see paragraphs 68 and 69).
- 51 When a SORP provides recommendations relating to other information to be provided in a document containing the financial statements/audit report/actuarial information, and the FRC believes the SORP's requirements or recommendations in relation to those areas could undermine the credibility of the document containing the financial statements/audit report/actuarial information, it will discuss the issue with the SORP-making body. If this does not resolve the issue, the FRC will consider the implications for its Statement on the SORP, and may vary its Statement to fit the circumstances.

FRC Statement on the SORP

- 52 The FRC will only issue a Statement on the SORP if the SORP has been developed in accordance with this Policy.
- 53 The Statement on the SORP will:
- (a) outline the nature of the review the FRC has undertaken;
 - (b) confirm that the SORP does not appear to contain any fundamental points of principle that are unacceptable in the context of current financial reporting practice, auditing practice or actuarial practice or to conflict with an FRC standard; and
 - (c) when relevant, confirm that the SORP does not appear to undermine the FRC's broader objectives.
- 54 The FRC will vary its Statement on the SORP to fit the circumstances of an individual SORP.
- 55 An example of the kind of Statement that will be issued is set out below:

"The purpose of the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) is to serve the public interest by setting high standards of corporate governance, reporting and audit and by holding to account those responsible for delivering them. In relation to [choose one]

accounting standards applicable in the UK and Republic of Ireland, the FRC's overriding objective is to enable users of accounts to receive high-quality understandable financial reporting proportionate to the size and complexity of the entity and users' information needs. In particular industries or sectors, clarification of aspects of those standards may be needed in order for the standards to be applied in a manner that is relevant and provides useful information to users of financial statements in that industry or sector.

or

auditing standards applicable in the UK, the FRC's overriding objective is to enable users of financial statements to have confidence that they have been subject to high-quality, robust and independent audit, proportionate to the size and complexity of the entity and users' information needs. In particular industries or sectors, clarification of aspects of those standards may be needed in order for the standards to be applied in a manner that is relevant and provides useful information to users of audit reports or other information issued by the auditor in that industry or sector.

or

actuarial standards, the FRC's work is informed by the Reliability Objective that users for whom actuarial information is created should be able to place a high degree of reliance on the information's relevance, transparency of assumptions, completeness and comprehensibility, including the communication of any uncertainty inherent in the information. For particular actuarial work, clarification may be needed in order for the standards to be applied in a manner that is relevant and provides reliable information to the user.

Such clarification in connection with [accounting/auditing/actuarial] standards is issued in the form of Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs) by bodies recognised for this purpose by the FRC. The [insert name of body] has confirmed that it shares the FRC's aim of high-quality [financial reporting/audit/actuarial work] and has been recognised by the FRC for the purpose of issuing SORPs for the [industry, sector or area of work].

In accordance with the FRC's Policy on Developing Statements of Recommended Practice (SORPs) the FRC carried out a review of the SORP focusing on those aspects relevant to the [financial statements/audit of financial statements/actuarial work] but also including aspects relevant to the FRC's broader responsibilities when appropriate.

On the basis of its review, the FRC has concluded that the SORP has been developed in accordance with the FRC's Policy on Developing SORPs and does not appear to contain any fundamental points of principle that are unacceptable in the context of present [financial reporting practices/auditing practices/actuarial practices] or to conflict with an [accounting/auditing/actuarial] standard [or to undermine the FRC's broader objectives]."

[date]

- 56 When issuing a Statement on the SORP, the FRC is making an assessment of that SORP at a particular moment. The FRC gives no guarantee that it will not, at some point in the future, issue a pronouncement that supersedes that SORP.

Publishing a SORP

- 57 SORPs issued by SORP-making bodies must include the FRC's Statement on the SORP. The SORP-making body must obtain the written consent of the FRC to include the Statement on the SORP, which shall be included in a prominent place in each SORP. No other reference to the FRC shall be made without prior written approval of the FRC.

Annual review

- 58 A SORP-making body shall review, on an annual basis, each SORP for which it is responsible. As a minimum, a SORP-making body shall consider:
- (a) any implications for the SORP of new and proposed accounting, auditing or actuarial standards – any divergence should be notified to the FRC as soon as possible;
 - (b) any evidence of widespread or significant failure in the relevant industry or sector to follow any part of the guidance contained in the SORP, of which the SORP-making body is aware;
 - (c) any developments in the industry or sector which suggest that further guidance in respect of their accounting, auditing or actuarial treatment is desirable; and
 - (d) any new or emerging factors that may impact the continued need for the SORP.
- 59 The SORP-making body shall provide the FRC with an account, in writing, of the findings of its annual review. The account shall demonstrate how the SORP-making body has complied with this Policy and also reaffirm its commitment to acting in accordance with it.

- 60 The account shall include:
- (a) the current membership of the standing groups, including length of service and any steps taken to periodically refresh the membership;
 - (b) details of any changes made to the governance arrangements of the SORP-making body; and
 - (c) the process and actions taken by the SORP-making body to engage with stakeholder groups.

The account shall also state whether the SORP-making body proposes to revise any of the SORPs for which it is responsible in the light of the findings of its annual review.

- 61 The FRC will consider the findings of the SORP-making body's annual review to determine whether it has been completed in accordance with this Policy, and advise the SORP-making body accordingly.

Withdrawal of the FRC's Statement on the SORP

- 62 The FRC reserves the right to withdraw its Statement on the SORP at any time if it considers that it is no longer appropriate to maintain its Statement on the SORP, for example because:
- (a) the SORP is out of date;
 - (b) the SORP has been superseded by developments in FRC standards or by legislative or regulatory requirements;
 - (c) the SORP-making body does not review and/or maintain the SORP in line with this Policy; or
 - (d) the SORP-making body refuses to implement changes to the SORP that are requested by the FRC.

Additional guidance

- 63 It will not normally be necessary for a SORP-making body or standing group to supplement a SORP with additional guidance. Material that a SORP-making body proposes to issue which offers an interpretation of FRC standards should normally be included in the SORP itself.
- 64 There are, nevertheless, three sets of circumstances where a SORP-making body or standing group may decide it is desirable to publish additional guidance outside the SORP itself, as follows:
- (a) an urgent need for guidance on new accounting or auditing standards, legislative or regulatory requirements, or other relevant publication, relevant to the scope of the SORP and issued after its publication – any such guidance should normally be incorporated into the SORP at the earliest subsequent opportunity;
 - (b) additional guidance is necessary in order to interpret the requirements of the SORP for a particular sub-sector; or
 - (c) additional guidance on the application of the SORP is necessary in order to aid practitioners.
- 65 In the situations cited in paragraph 64, the SORP-making body or standing group should notify the FRC and explain what guidance is proposed. The FRC may require that a reference to the FRC is included in the guidance. Sufficient time should be allowed for the

guidance to be considered by FRC staff. The guidance should not be published without the agreement of the FRC.

66 The FRC does not usually endorse guidance issued outside the SORP. However, where it is clear in relation to matters that fall within paragraph 64(a), that:

- (a) guidance is urgently needed on a significant issue and cannot be delayed until revision of the SORP; and
- (b) the SORP-making body follows the same due process that it would normally follow when revising a SORP, including review by the FRC,

then the FRC's Statement on the SORP may be attached to the guidance, thereby according it the same authority as the SORP.

67 For situations that fall within paragraphs 64(b) and (c), the SORP-making body or standing group shall include in any published guidance a statement that clearly indicates the authority of that material and states that it is not part of the SORP and has not been reviewed by the FRC. An example of such a statement is set out below:

“the overall aim of the guidance is to assist practitioners in [the preparation of financial statements/the audit of financial statements/carrying out actuarial work]. It does not form part of the [date and name of SORP], nor has it been reviewed by the FRC. It attempts to explain and illustrate what is required under [date and name of SORP] but does not carry the authority of the SORP.”

FRC Governance

68 The table below lists the actions in this Policy that fall to the FRC. It sets out how they will be discharged in accordance with the FRC's governance arrangements.

Action	Carried out by	Advice provided by
Recognition of a SORP-making body	FRC Board	Regulatory Standards & Codes Committee advised by the FRC Executive
Approval to publish a SORP statement of intent or consultation draft	FRC Executive	An Advisory Panel
Issuing a Statement on a SORP	FRC Executive	An Advisory Panel
Issuing a Statement on a SORP when the FRC's role is referenced in legislation	FRC Board	Regulatory Standards & Codes Committee advised by the FRC Executive and an Advisory Panel


69 The findings from a SORP-making body's annual review will be considered by the FRC Executive, advised by an Advisory Panel.

Appendix

Due process for developing or revising a SORP – summary flowchart

This appendix sets out the steps typically involved in developing or revising a SORP in the form of a flowchart. The flowchart is intended to provide guidance to SORP-making bodies in understanding the application of this Policy.

Due process for developing or revising a SORP





Financial Reporting Council

8th Floor

125 London Wall

London

EC2Y 5AS

+44 (0)20 7492 2300

www.frc.org.uk