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What is the Lab
The Financial Reporting Lab was set up by the Financial Reporting Council to improve the effectiveness of corporate reporting in the UK. The Lab provides a safe environment for listed companies and investors to explore innovative reporting solutions that better meet their needs.

Lab reports do not form new reporting requirements. Instead, they summarise observations on practices that investors find useful to their analysis and encourage companies to consider adopting the practices if appropriate in the context of their own reporting. It is the responsibility of each reporting company to ensure compliance with relevant reporting requirements.

Published reports and further information on the Lab can be found on the FRC’s website: http://www.frc.org.uk/Lab

Do you have suggestions to share?
The Lab encourages readers of this report to provide comments on its content and presentation. As far as possible, comments will be taken into account in shaping future projects. To provide comments, please send us an email at: FinancialReportingLab@frc.org.uk
Quick read

Quick questions for companies on their business model disclosure

- Is your business model disclosure comprehensive, covering all elements investors find useful that are relevant to your business?
- Does your disclosure include business models of all your significant businesses?
- Are the key drivers of your business model(s) clear?
- Does your business model disclosure link well to other areas of the strategic report and is it consistent with other disclosures?
- Does the business model graphic improve the understandability of the business model for those outside your organisation?

Business model reporting

Investors are unanimous that business model information is fundamental to their analysis and understanding of a company and its performance, position and prospects, both at the initial investment stage and for their ongoing monitoring and stewardship responsibilities. Potentially business model disclosure is even more important for companies not well covered by analysts (smaller quoted, AIM (Alternative Investment Market) and other companies). It provides context to all other information in the annual report.

There are a number of advantages to companies for providing comprehensive business model disclosure that meets investor needs, including:

- making the company’s clear and comprehensive definition of their business model the primary source of business model information for investors, thereby avoiding the risk that others less close to the business develop their own definition of the business model;
- demonstrating the board’s clear understanding of their business and its key drivers engenders investor trust; and
- developing and sharing the business model definition can strengthen alignment of understanding within the company.

Practice by companies is varied, with business model disclosures ranging from very high level to quite detailed, and covering different information sets. This variation is partially a reflection of some companies not understanding the importance of their business model disclosure to investors. Investors are concerned when companies fail to articulate their business model well, and comment that many annual report business model disclosures do not yet fully meet their needs.

Attributes of good business model disclosure

Figure 1 summarises key information that investors told the Lab they are looking for companies to provide in their business model disclosures (consistent with the elements in the FRC’s Guidance on the Strategic Report). The most desired information is in the centre, through to that information (mostly representing further detail on the information in the centre) desired by a smaller number of investors in the outer rings.

Figure 1: Hierarchy of business model attributes

Most
Investors want the company to include:
- What it does and where it sits in the value chain
- Key divisions and their contribution, and legal structure
- Key markets and market segments
- Its competitive advantage
- Key inputs (assets and liabilities, relationships and resources) and how they are maintained / enhanced
- Key revenue and profit drivers
- Value created for other stakeholders that supports economic value generation
- Statistics to indicate relative importance of elements

Many
Investors also want:
- Direct threats
- Market share

Some
Investors also want:
- Culture and values
- SWOT analysis
- Purpose
- Investment plans
- How the business model is likely to evolve
- Cash flow
- Capital and Assets allocated to business
- ROE, ROCE, or ROA*

* ROE = Return on Equity; ROCE = Return on Capital Employed; ROA = Return on Assets

Investors need more detail than is currently provided by most companies. Investors ask companies to assume the reader knows nothing about the company and provide disclosure that stands alone in describing the business model — something as fundamental as stating what the company does is often omitted from the disclosure as it is described elsewhere in the annual report or is assumed knowledge.
In particular, investors find disclosures are often lacking information that answers questions such as:

- What are the key revenue and profit drivers and how do profits convert to cash?
- Are there any key asset and liability items that support the business model?
- What is the competitive advantage?

Many companies express concern that disclosure of their competitive advantage is commercially sensitive and could jeopardise the company’s prospects. However, investors believe companies can balance commercial sensitivity with providing sufficient disclosure to enable them to understand what differentiates the company. They are not looking for the ‘secret recipe’ to be published.

Investors note improvement is needed in linkage and consistency between the business model and other information in the annual report. Investors believe that natural linkage can be achieved if the key drivers of the business are clearly articulated in the business model disclosure.

Figure 2: Linkage – business model at the heart of the annual report

![Diagram showing linkage to business model at the heart of the annual report]

Where a company operates more than one distinct business model, investors believe each significant business model should be disclosed, together with the rationale for having the different businesses within one company.

Vodafone Group Plc’s 2015 disclosure, included in Appendix A, is considered by investors to demonstrate many of the attributes they are looking for, and is therefore considered to be a good reporting example.

An adaptation of the business model disclosure of Deltex Medical Group plc (an AIM company), taking account of this report’s findings and direct feedback obtained from investors during this project is included in Appendix B.

Presentation of business model disclosure

Nearly all investors believe the business model should be presented near the front of the annual report, with some wanting it presented as the first section, as it provides context to the remainder of the information.

Investors want the business model description to be written in plain, clear, concise, and factual language. They indicate that promotional and aspirational language obscures their ability to understand the business model, is not conducive to fair and balanced reporting, and should be avoided - some investors say such language discourages them from reading further.

Most investors believe business models are best communicated through a combination of infographic and detailed narrative. However, some investors prefer good quality narrative only, and view many of the existing graphics as unhelpful, at best. Consideration should be given to whether the addition of a graphic depiction achieves greater impact and understandability than narrative alone (for instance, in depicting relationships or relative importance of elements).

Once the business model disclosure has been clearly defined and meets investor needs, investors expect that companies will only modify the disclosure to reflect changes to the model. Changes in the year, and forthcoming changes, should be clearly identified, including their rationale. Companies should consider how best to communicate significant business model changes – a small number of investors believe the inclusion of both pre and post-change business models in the year of change may be helpful in some cases.

Business model disclosures tend to be developed for the annual report alone, and not used elsewhere. Sometimes there is a different, or more detailed depiction of the business model provided in investor presentations or online. Investors prefer one high quality disclosure to be provided consistently across the communication channels, as it provides confidence that the business model presented represents the real business model.

“We take reporting seriously and want to communicate the relevant information to investors, but we don’t know what they are looking for in the business model.”

Company

“The business model is more important than the management – a good business model can survive bad management.”

Investor

“Transparency in the business model disclosure gives confidence in management so we give them the benefit of doubt when issues arise or when voting.”

Investor
Project introduction

Project initiation

Although the term 'business model' did not come into use in relation to corporate reporting until around the late 1990's (driven by the new technology company business models), companies in the UK have been disclosing aspects of their business model for a long time. Since 2013, the UK Strategic Report Regulations require the disclosure of business models by quoted companies.

This project was first proposed by companies who wanted to understand information on business models that is useful to investors and how that information is being used. This initial interest was given further support by the responses to the Lab’s online stakeholder survey conducted in summer 2014. The survey highlighted that for all Lab stakeholders (companies, investors and advisors), business model reporting, principal risk reporting and viability reporting are seen as challenging areas and therefore high on their agenda for Lab projects.

Consequently, a series of projects was announced by the Lab in summer 2015 to cover business model, principal risk, and viability reporting. This Business model reporting project was initiated as the first in the series because establishing views on good business model reporting is considered to provide a foundation on which risk and viability reporting can then be developed. This sequential approach also allows market practice to develop in relation to new requirements on risk and viability reporting.

An improving trend

Companies have been improving business model reporting over time. Black Sun’s “Complete 100” research demonstrates some of the development in the reporting of business models. In 2009, only 42 companies mention ‘business model’ in their annual report, and only 12 of those disclosures were classified as ‘detailed’ or ‘very detailed’. In 2015, by contrast, all companies use the term ‘business model’, with 70 of their disclosures being rated ‘detailed’ or ‘very detailed’.

While practice has been improving, companies and investors believe there is significant room for further improvement.

The regulatory context

The UK Strategic Report Regulations, applicable for periods ending on or after 30 September 2013, introduced a requirement for quoted companies to disclose their business model. This brought a requirement to disclose the business model into law for the first time, having been required under the UK Corporate Governance Code since 2010 (on a ‘comply or explain’ basis), and is seen as having codified common market practice.

The new EU Directive on Disclosure of Non-Financial and Diversity Information, expected to come into effect for reporting years commencing on or after 1 January 2017, will also introduce the requirement for companies across Europe, within the scope of the Directive, to disclose their business models. Companies across the EU may find this Lab report helpful as they consider their disclosures.

No definition of business model is provided in either the UK regulations or the EU Directive, and no commonly agreed definition currently exists in academic research or business literature. In practice, discussions on business model often drift into strategy, with the lines between them blurred.

At the request of the then Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS), the FRC published non-mandatory Guidance on the Strategic Report in June 2014 which recommends the following information be described in the business model disclosure:

- how the entity generates or preserves value over the longer term;
- how the entity captures that value;
- what the entity does and why it does it;
- what makes the entity different from, or the basis on which it competes with, its peers;
- high level understanding of how the entity is structured;
- high level understanding of the markets in which it operates and how it engages with those markets; and
- broad understanding of the nature of the relationships, resources and other inputs that are necessary for the success of the business.
The scope of the project report

This report examines the views of company and investor participants on the key attributes of business model reporting, the value and use of business model reporting, together with illustrative examples of reporting favoured by investors.

In this report we use the following definitions commonly articulated by the project participants:

- Business model – what the company does, how it does it, and how it creates economic value now;
- Strategy – where the company is going and how it is going to get there. It may involve changes to, or emphasis of, aspects of the business model.

Views were obtained through a combination of face to face interviews and round tables, from representatives of 19 companies and 38 members of the investment community. Companies range in size from AIM to FTSE 100. Investment community participants include retail investors, buy-side and sell-side analysts, fund managers, fixed income investors, and credit rating agency representatives. See the Participants and process section for further details.

It is expected that the findings of this report will be of interest to all quoted companies, and to AIM and other companies who decide to voluntarily disclose their business model, as best practice.
Good business model disclosure

Importance of the business model

Investors use business model information in their initial investment appraisal process, but also for monitoring the investee company’s performance and fulfilling their own stewardship responsibilities.

Most institutional investors believe they usually have access to sufficient business model information for them to complete their analysis of the company. However, they piece it together through a variety of sources. In addition to the annual report, they rely on:

- discussions with management;
- company presentations;
- in-house sector specialists;
- sell-side analyst reports; and
- prospectuses, if available.

Most investors indicate that discussions with management, including questioning or challenging them on aspects of the business model, are currently key to their understanding of a company’s business model. This presents a challenge for retail and smaller investors who may not be able to meet management. For all investors to have equal access to information, investors note that companies should include information required to understand the business model in the annual report disclosure.

Companies have been developing their disclosure, but most acknowledge there is more to do. In the early stages of this project, many companies were not convinced that investors use the business model disclosures included in the annual report. Some companies feel that business model disclosure is only a compliance exercise. They:

- believe investors know their business model;
- think they receive no questions from investors on their business model; and
- consider that they prepare the disclosure solely for inclusion in the annual report.

Investors are unanimous that business model information is fundamental to their analysis and understanding of a company and its prospects. It provides context and understanding to the other information in the annual report. Investors often ask questions to improve their understanding of the business model, particularly in an initial meeting, but either introduce them as strategy, or do not introduce them at all. As a result, companies may not immediately recognise when business model related questions are asked.

The board and management’s unwillingness or inability to clearly articulate their business model raises concerns for investors about the quality of management, to the extent that some will not invest, while others will limit the capital they invest. Investors often compare business models (directly or indirectly) and form views on the quality and sustainability of the business model.

Business model disclosures of investee companies are also used to: confirm that the company is applying the business model the investors think they are; identify any changes; and refer to before meeting companies. Investors compare management’s description of the business model with their analysis of what the company does and how it is generating economic value.

Although AIM companies are exempt from the requirement to disclose their business model, some AIM company representatives and many members of the investment community believe it is as important, if not even more important, for them to do so voluntarily than for quoted companies. The main reasons for believing business model reporting is potentially more important for AIM (and also smaller main market quoted and other) companies are that they are not as well known, and not covered by analysts to the same extent as larger quoted companies.

Lab comment

From the Lab’s discussions with companies, investors and advisors, the following are perceived to be the benefits to companies of good business model disclosure:

- making the company’s clear and comprehensive definition of their business model the primary source of business model information for investors, thereby avoiding the risk that others less close to the business develop their own definition of the business model;
- demonstrating the board’s clear understanding of their business and its key drivers engenders investor trust; and
- developing and sharing the business model definition can strengthen alignment of understanding within the company.

“We never receive any questions on our business model from investors, so this feels like a regulatory exercise.”

Company

“Business model information is fundamental to our analysis of a company.”

Investor

“If management are unable or unwilling to clearly articulate their business model I won’t invest.”

Investor
Development of business model disclosure

Companies develop their business model disclosures in a number of ways. The team with primary responsibility for the disclosure varies. For company participants in the project, business model disclosure is the responsibility of either Finance, Communications, Company Secretariat, or Investor Relations.

Some companies obtain input from across the organisation to develop their disclosure, while for others it is primarily written by one or two individuals. For some, external consultants are involved in developing the disclosure.

The level of board and senior executive engagement in business model disclosure also differs. Many companies indicate limited involvement and challenge from the board and senior management, while others note significant input and challenge. Ultimately the board are responsible for the annual report, including the business model disclosure.

Companies express advantages to their respective approaches. Those who develop their disclosure by a cross-organisation committee, note that obtaining many views and determining an agreed way to communicate their business model can lead to common understanding within the company. While those who have one or two individuals write the disclosure say it helps them to focus the disclosure and write it in ‘one voice’.

Many investors comment that business model disclosures currently seem to be written by external consultants or investor relations teams. They point to marketing language and high level aspirational statements as symptoms of this; they would prefer a cohesive and clear factual narrative. They also note that the disclosure is less coherent when written by committee, and does not link well with other aspects of the annual report. Many investors expect the disclosure would be more insightful if the CEO were to be involved in, and have overall responsibility for, its drafting.

Level of detail

Many companies believe the disclosure should be relatively high level to provide an overview of the business model. However, there are varying levels of detail shown by companies in their disclosure, with the length ranging from less than one page to numerous pages.

Investors want more detail than most companies are currently providing, although they note that this should not lengthen the disclosure significantly. Instead, investors want companies to better use the space currently taken by the disclosure, to provide relevant factual information.

While many investors think the disclosure should be one to three pages in length, most like Vodafone’s 2015 disclosure which is four pages in length (see Appendix A). Investors conclude that the disclosure length will depend on the complexity of the business, and should provide relevant factual information, without being constrained to a couple of pages.

“I’m disappointed that audit committees only challenge the numbers and not the quality and appropriateness of communication.”

Investor

“It’s not about disclosing more in length, it’s about disclosing what is material to your company.”

Investor

“The first one we did took about 6 months and we had so many different views within the company, it was like trying to herd cats.”

Company

EMIS Group plc (“EMIS”) case study

EMIS is an AIM company providing software and IT services to the healthcare sector. The company has evolved from a closely held company to one with a wider shareholder base.

The company believes in continual improvement of its annual report. Over the past few years it has sought to provide an annual report that goes beyond compliance. EMIS wanted to make a step change in their strategic report to communicate better with both current, and potential new, investors.

The process of improvement was led by the Company Secretary, who had primary responsibility for preparation of the annual report, with the authority and encouragement from the Audit Committee and Executives to make changes. Engagement in the process was obtained from the company’s board, design agency and main investors, obtaining feedback on past reports, and reflecting on best practice.

Even though AIM companies are exempt from publishing their business model, EMIS thinks it is an important disclosure for their current and potential investors. The company took an incremental approach to evolve their business model disclosure over three annual reports (2013 to 2015) to try to clearly express the key opportunity that the business represents to investors. The key elements of the 2015 business model disclosure were identified and agreed through discussion at the Board Strategy Day. The company found participation in this Lab project helpful as it gave added insight into investor views and the opportunity to learn from other companies.

“As someone who had not heard of the company, I thought the business model was informative”

Investor
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Facilitating improved clinical outcomes and efficiency through connected technology services.

Our key inputs
- Innovative connected technology services
- Highly skilled people
- Trusted brand
- Strong relationships and strategically aligned with government, partners and the markets we serve
- Strong revenue visibility
- Responsible leadership
- Strong culture of caring for both patients and customers

What we do
- Support the constantly evolving landscape of healthcare through well-implemented dynamic, innovative software and services
- Listen to customers and citizens and deliver what they need
- Deliver on our connected product strategy to facilitate the use of clinical information
- Maximize the return on our resources by joining them up and making them super-efficient
- Ensure that information is available where it’s needed, when it’s needed

How we generate revenue
Through providing:
- Software licenses
- Software maintenance and support
- Hosting services
- Hardware installation, maintenance and support
- Training, consultancy and implementation
- Other support services, including screening services

How we add value
- Join up healthcare through innovative IT to give better access to information and so better, faster and cheaper patient care
- Deliver planned return to customers, clinicians, citizens, investors and other stakeholders
- Ensure that healthcare maximizes the benefits that can be attained through clinically focused, innovative software
- Strong cash generation through recurring revenues
- Retain and grow market share
- We are used in every major healthcare setting directly supporting patients and clinicians to provide safe and efficient care

Why customers choose us
- We are clinically focused
- We are pioneering
- We care about what we do
- We assist in providing better patient care
- We are their trusted supplier

Strategic report
Case study – Community Pharmacy
Case study – Secondary & Specialist Care

Redesigning diabetes care with the patient at the centre

Diabetes is one of the big health challenges faced by the city of Liverpool, in common with a lot of areas across the UK.

“Designing the service around the clinical need of our patients has been key,” explained Kate Warriner, Healthy Liverpool digital care and innovation programme lead and Liverpool managerial lead. As part of the Healthy Liverpool vision, health care services across the region are working together to ensure that care of diabetic patients is proactive, tailored and provided locally in the community. Technology from EMIS-Health is helping to turn the service redesign into reality.

A convenient service for patients
Patients whose conditions can be managed by regular appointments are being seen in any of six local clinics across the city. Clinicians use EMIS Web to view up to date medical records from everyone involved in the patient’s care. Now patients can visit a clinic local to home or work. It gives them the flexibility to fit their appointments into their lives.

Better care for the ‘super six’
Diabetics in the city who need more care continue with hospital appointments to manage their health – those who fall under the ‘super six’ categories of diabetes, where the condition has the potential to be more complex, for example if they are pregnant, under 24 or diabetic foot care patients.

The initiative is having a positive impact for these patients too. “By concentrating the acute services on the serious cases, everyone is receiving the level of care that’s appropriate to their condition. For example patients on an insulin pump should be seen four times per year; previously they were only being seen twice. Under the new initiative, we can see them four times per year and pick up any issues much earlier.”

Future plans
It doesn’t stop there. “We’ll be looking at improvements to services providing care to patients with other long term conditions, such as respiratory illnesses, building on our experience of redesigning the diabetes service,” said Kate. “More services will be provided to patients out of hospital and in the community using joined-up technology from EMIS Web – it means a more convenient service for patients, provided by clinicians who have all the information they need.”

“Patients give us positive feedback about how convenient their appointments are now. For a patient living in Speke for example, it used to be a 40 minute bus ride to the nearest hospital appointments to manage their health – those who fall under the ‘super six’ categories of diabetes, where the condition has the potential to be more complex, for example if they are pregnant, under 24 or diabetic foot care patients.

The initiative is having a positive impact for these patients too. “By concentrating the acute services on the serious cases, everyone is receiving the level of care that’s appropriate to their condition. For example patients on an insulin pump should be seen four times per year; previously they were only being seen twice. Under the new initiative, we can see them four times per year and pick up any issues much earlier.”

Future plans
It doesn’t stop there. “We’ll be looking at improvements to services providing care to patients with other long term conditions, such as respiratory illnesses, building on our experience of redesigning the diabetes service,” said Kate. “More services will be provided to patients out of hospital and in the community using joined-up technology from EMIS Web – it means a more convenient service for patients, provided by clinicians who have all the information they need.”
More than one business model

Many companies contain more than one division or business line, each operating different business models. Most investors think companies should provide comprehensive business model disclosures on each significant business line, together with the rationale for keeping the different businesses in one company or group.

For highly diverse groups, this could result in very lengthy disclosure. Companies may want to consider providing higher level disclosure on each significant business model in the annual report, with a link to the corporate website for detail on each of the significant business models.

However, a few investors prefer, where possible, that only one group business model is presented with the key drivers for the group. This may be possible when there are only two or three divisions, as demonstrated in the first page of the Vodafone 2015 excerpt included in Appendix A.

Lab comment

BP plc presents its group business model along with high level business models for each division in the divisional reporting sections of the strategic report. Most investors like this approach, but are looking for detail on each significant business model.

BP plc Annual Report and Form 20F 2015 p28, p34

Upstream

Our strategy is to have a balanced portfolio across the world’s key basins, working safely and reliably while maintaining a focus on capital discipline and quality execution to deliver value.

Our business model and strategy

The Upstream segment includes our activities in oil and natural gas exploration, field development, and production, as well as midstream transportation and processing activities. We also manage and trade in crude oil, natural gas liquids, and gas.

- Our exploration function is responsible for renewing our resource base through access, exploration and appraisal, while the reservoir development function is responsible for the development of our resource base.
- The global wells organization and the global projects organization are organized for the safe, reliable and compliant execution of drilling and completion activities.
- The global operations organization is responsible for safe, reliable and compliant operating activities, including the production, processing and midstream transportation and processing activities.

We optimize and integrate the delivery of these activities across 12 regions, with support provided by global functions in specialist areas of marine technology, finance, procurement and engineering. Human resources and information technology.

The US Lower 48 began operating as a separate onshore business in 2015.

Our production strategy is designed to maximize returns through high-quality, high-value production, while being mindful of the potential to make the highest contribution to our operating cash flow. We will select those we believe have the highest value to others.

Our production strategy is enabled by:
- A continued focus on safety, reliability and the systematic management of risk.
- Prioritizing value over volume, and a continuous focus on executive excellence, managing costs and business delivery.
- Maintaining disciplined investment in a balanced portfolio of opportunities, in deep water, gas, gas liquids, giant fields and onshore.
- Delivering continuous operating cash flow through improvements in efficiency and reliability – for both operations and capital investment.
- Strong relationships built on trust, mutual advantage and deep knowledge of the basins where we operate.

Our performance summary

- For upstream safety performance see page 44.
- We achieved an upstream operated plant reliability of 95%.
- We started three major upstream projects.
- Our exploration function gained access to new potential resources underlying almost 500,000 net acres in the US.
- Our divestments generated $3.9 billion in proceeds in 2015.

Outlook for 2016

- We expect underlying production to increase only modestly from 2015.
- We will continue to invest in the margins of our business to increase returns in a lower oil price environment.
- We will focus on driving down costs, increasing access, increasing recovery and improving production efficiency.

See Financial performance on page 29 for an exploration of the main factors influencing upstream profit before interest and tax.

Downstream

We continued to improve our personal and process safety and delivered strong operations and marketing performance, contributing to record replacement cost profit before interest and tax.

Our business model and strategy

The Downstream segment has global manufacturing and marketing operations. It is the product and service arm of BP, made up of three businesses:
- Fuels – includes refineries, fuels marketing and convenience retail businesses, together with global supply and trading activities that make-up our fuels value chain (FVC). We sell refined petroleum products including gasoline, diesel and aviation fuel.
- Lubricants – manufactures and markets lubricants and related products and services globally, adding value through brand, technology and relationships, such as collaboration with original equipment manufacturers and dealers.
- Petrochemicals – manufactures, sells and distributes products that are produced mainly using proprietary BP technology, and are then used by others to make essential consumer products, such as plastic bottles and textiles. We also license our technologies to third parties.

We are an integrated, safe and reliable operations across our businesses, supported by leading brands and technologies, to deliver high-quality products and services that meet our customers’ needs.

Our strategy focuses on a quality portfolio that allows us to lead the industry, as measured by net income per barrel, with improving returns and growing operating cash flow. Our five strategic priorities are:
- Safe and reliable operations – this remains our first priority and we continue to display improvement in personal and process safety performance.
- Sustainable growth – we continue to build a top-tier refining business by having a competitively advantaged portfolio underpinned by operational excellence that helps to reduce exposure to market volatility.
- Petrochemicals – we seek to sustainably improve earnings potential and make the business more resilient to a bottom-up cycle environment through portfolio repositioning, improved operational performance and efficiencies benefits.

Our performance summary

- For Downstream safety performance see page 45.
- We delivered record replacement cost profit before interest and tax in 2015, driven by lower cost of capital and operational efficiencies.
- We delivered strong operability and operational performance across our refining portfolio and year-on-year improvement in utilizations.
- We commenced the European launch of our BP fuTark with ACTIVE technology in Spain, which are designed to remove SOX and protect air engines.
- We announced the arrangement to acquire our German refining port operability, with Rhineland.
- We halted operations at Bulaway refinery in Australia.
- In ASR we completed the integration of Total’s fuel and Retail’s western business which added more than 700 sports to our global network.
- In our lubricants business we launched Castrol’s Nexcel, an innovative automotive additive change technology.
- We commenced start-up of the Zhuhai 3 plant in China – the world’s largest single area paraxylene (PTA) unit.
- Our simplification and efficiency programmes contributed to material progress in lowering costs. These programmes mitigate the impact of refinery turnarounds, implementing steps like the de-duplication of facilities, and rephasing and rationalizing of our global refinery and petrochemicals, and focusing on cost parity.

Outlook for 2016

- We anticipate a weaker refining environment.
- We expect the financial impact of refinery turnaround to be higher than 2015 as a result of increased turnaround activity.

See Financial performance on page 33 for the main factors influencing downstream profit before interest and tax.
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### Attributes of good business model disclosure

#### Linkage

Investors believe that linkage and flow within the annual report is an important element required to produce a high quality report; a belief that companies also hold. Currently many companies link the business model to other parts of the annual report through page referencing, while others try to achieve linkage through repeating certain headings in different sections. Investors believe this is not adequate.

Investors believe clear disclosure of the key elements and drivers of the business model provides a basis for reporting on a company’s strategy, as they expect the company to have set strategy in relation to maintaining and developing those key elements. The risks to the business model drivers and their related strategy should be clearly identifiable in the principal risk disclosures, and viability reporting should reflect the business model, strategy and related risks. In turn, those key areas of strategy should be measured by relevant KPIs, and remuneration policies should be driven by the same KPIs. Investors believe that this should result in an obvious and natural linkage through the strategic report, but note that this is not currently achieved by companies.

EY’s publication *Annual Reporting in 2015: evolving communication in a changing world,* indicates that only 12% of companies link through from strategy to KPIs, risks and remuneration.

The business model disclosure also provides a grounding to which other information can be related and linked. For instance, capital requirements of the business model can be linked to the capital management disclosures of a company. Some investors consider it is important to disclose the capital requirements of each significant business model. Similarly, reference can be made to dividend policy disclosures as one of the main methods of sharing the economic value generated with investors.

In addition, most investors refer to other areas of the annual report for consistency in their understanding of the business model. Key areas in addition to the strategic report linkage mentioned above are:

- segmental reporting – how do the reported segments relate to the business model(s)?
- main Income Statement items – do they correlate well with the description of drivers of profitability indicated in the business model description?
- key Balance Sheet items – is the composition of the balance sheet in line with expectations from the business model description?
- accounting policies – e.g. does the revenue recognition policy gel with business model disclosure?
- cash flow statement – do cash flow disclosures support assertions of a highly cash generative business model and conversion of profits to cash?
- employee numbers and cost disclosure – does the business model explain how human resources are employed?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good business model disclosure</th>
<th>Presentation of business model disclosures</th>
<th>Participants and process</th>
<th>Appendices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business model</strong></td>
<td><strong>Strategic risk and viability</strong></td>
<td><strong>KPIs</strong></td>
<td><strong>Remuneration &amp; dividend policy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explain key elements and drivers</td>
<td>Key driver maintenance or development</td>
<td>In relation to key drivers</td>
<td>Linked to KPIs/results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2: Linkage – business model at the heart of the annual report**
Elements included in the Guidance on the Strategic Report

In general, investors and companies support the disclosure elements (included as sub-headings below) called for in the FRC’s Guidance on the Strategic Report, with many companies commenting positively about the usefulness of the Guidance. Investors identify the key information / attributes desired in each element below.

What the entity does and why it does it

Key disclosure:
• Clearly describe the activities of the company – what does it do?
• Where is the company positioned in the value chain?

Other potential disclosure:
• What is the societal purpose of the company?
Investors ask companies to assume that the reader of the disclosure knows nothing about the company. The starting point is to clearly articulate what the company does and its position in the value chain at the beginning of the business model disclosure. This is often omitted by companies as it is stated in other areas of the annual report or considered obvious. However, investors often read discrete sections of the annual report. Therefore, each section needs to be able to communicate key messages. A balance needs to be found between including detail on an aspect of disclosure and referencing to other sections for more detail on the aspect, if it is most appropriate for the detail to be in another section.

Lab comment

Many investors like easyJet plc’s clear disclosure of what the company does.

Some investors like companies to explain their purpose, which some do at the moment. However, investors stress that a company’s purpose is not to create shareholder value – they view creating shareholder value as an outcome.

The following examples demonstrate different approaches by companies to disclosing and defining their ‘purpose’ within their business model disclosures.

By supplying energy, we support economic development and help to improve quality of life for millions of people.
How the entity generates value and preserves it over the longer term

Key disclosure:

- How does the company generate economic value – what are the key revenue and profit drivers and how are they monetised?
- Are there any key assets and liabilities that support value generation and how are they maintained or enhanced?

Other potential disclosure:

- Does the company generate value for other stakeholders which is also key to generating economic value?

Investors are primarily interested in understanding how companies generate economic value. They want to understand key revenue and profit drivers, including a sense of the relative contribution of each business to the result. It is also important for investors to understand how the value generated is monetised. Key dependencies for the generation of economic value, such as obtaining and maintaining regulatory licences (e.g. drugs, spectrum licences, intellectual property, etc.), should be clearly disclosed in the business model. Often such elements are helpful to (if not dependencies for) the ongoing generation of value.

Investors also expect the disclosure to highlight any key assets and liabilities that support economic value generation. For instance, they expect the disclosure to clearly describe any intangible assets (on or off balance sheet) that are an essential element of the business model. Further, the related research and development or maintenance spend is also important as investors want to understand how essential assets are maintained or enhanced. Similarly, if property is an essential element of the business model, investors expect the disclosure to indicate whether it is leased or owned due to the impact on the financial statements, future cash flows, and ability to exit properties if necessary.

Aberdeen Asset Management plc Annual Report and Accounts 2015 p18

How we generate value

We charge fees based on levels of AuM and they are expressed as basis points (“bps”). Fees depend on the capability, amount of money managed and the channel, therefore the product mix has an impact on levels of revenue growth.

We aim to grow AuM organically and through selective acquisitions, where we seek to ensure we pay a competitive price for new business and teams. The Group has a strong track record in effectively integrating new capabilities.

While there will be a natural turnover of money managed, and we expect a level of outflows from existing clients, we aim to retain as much AuM as possible with a long term focus on investment management and meeting clients’ needs. AuM may also increase and decrease due to market movements.

When revenues grow, our cash flows grow. We use cash generated to ensure we have a strong capital base. Thereafter, we look to increase shareholder value through strategic acquisitions, dividends and other returns to shareholders.

Note: AuM is Assets under Management

Lab comment

Many investors like that Aberdeen Asset Management plc gives prominence to how they generate economic value by highlighting it in a box within the business model disclosure, with some believing it provides clarity on fees and the importance of AuM.
A few investors believe that disclosing Return on Equity, Return on Capital Employed or Return on Assets (ROE / ROCE or ROA respectively) statistics improves their understanding of value generated. Their view is that the company’s use of such statistics demonstrates they are focused on generating value above the cost of capital.

Value generated for other stakeholders (e.g. for customers, suppliers or society) that is key to generating economic value, is also important to investors, particularly as it helps them to assess the sustainability of the business model. For instance, investors want to understand the value to customers of the product / service that will likely result in future sales.

**Why our customers choose Aggreko**

We operate in developed markets and provide solutions for, and rent our equipment to, customers who either operate it themselves or contract us to provide a full turn-key solution. We retain responsibility for servicing and maintaining it. We provide a multi-product offering with power, air technologies, such as temperature control, oil-free air and loadbanks across a diverse sector base. Contracts tend to be short term and transactional in nature.

**How we create value for our Partners**

ARM employs engineers to develop advanced processors, physical IP tools and software that we maintain license to companies developing chips for consumer electronics and enterprise equipment. We continue to invest in R&D programmes, hiring more engineers and investing in productivity tools as future generations of technology become increasingly complex.

It is more cost-effective for our Partners to license the technology from us. The design of a processor or a library of physical IP requires a large amount of R&D investment and expertise. In addition, the creation and development of an ecosystem of software and tools companies that support ARM's technology and its licensees would be difficult to reproduce.

With ARM designing the technology once and licensing many times, ARM is able to cover its own R&D costs and also reduce the cost for each semiconductor company. ARM's Partners are then able to invest in the complementary technologies that go into a System-on-Chip. This leads to more choice in digital electronics for OEMs and consumers.
What makes the entity different from, or the basis on which it competes with, its peers

Key disclosure:

- What differentiates the company from, or how does it compete with, its peers? What is the company’s competitive advantage?

Explanations of how the business model differs, or how the entity competes, is key information investors are looking for, but is not currently well disclosed by most companies. Many companies believe such information to be commercially sensitive. Where the information is considered sensitive, nearly all investors believe companies can disclose their competitive advantage to a level of detail that enables it to be understood, without enabling others to replicate it. Investors further note that competitive advantage is very much about a company’s capabilities and not so much about the commercial secrets.

“We can’t disclose our competitive strength, it’s commercially sensitive.”

Company

“Commercial sensitivity is a nonsense, no competitor worth the name doesn’t know exactly where their rivals are placing themselves, so why can’t companies tell their shareholders what makes them different – commercial sensitivity is a red herring.”

Investor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ashmore Group plc Annual Report and Accounts 2015 p2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPECIALIST EMERGING MARKETS FOCUS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ashmore’s key competitive advantage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Group has more than two decades’ experience of investing solely in Emerging Markets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Emerging Markets are growing rapidly as economic, political and social developments support rising productivity and lead to GDP per capita convergence with Developed Markets. Ashmore is well positioned to capitalise on these growth trends and to translate them into value for investors, shareholders, and the communities in which it invests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ashmore has established a long track record of investing in Emerging Markets, beginning in 1987 for equities and 1992 for fixed income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The Group’s focus derives from an early recognition that Emerging Markets would become the engine room of global economic growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Today, the Emerging Markets account for more than 50% of global GDP and structural developments underpin this share rising further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The diversity and inefficiencies of the asset class provide significant investment opportunities, but also require specialist, active fund management skills to navigate market cycles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lab comment**
Ashmore Group plc clearly sets out what they believe their competitive advantage is in their business model disclosure.

High level understanding of how the entity is structured

Key disclosure:

- What are the key divisions / segments and their relevant contribution to the company? What is the legal structure?

An understanding of the entity’s structure is prerequisite information for investors. Disclosure of what each of the divisions / segments do, together with relevant statistics to indicate size and importance to the business model, is desired by investors in the business model disclosure. The Vodafone example, included in Appendix A, identifies two divisions - mobile and fixed.

As noted above, many entities actually operate a number of distinct business models. If the divisional / segmental structure does not match the separate business model disclosures, it would be helpful to investors if the disclosure indicates how business models are grouped into divisions and segments.

Some investors find it helpful if the capital / asset allocation, cash flow and investment plans for the significant businesses are provided, to enable them to understand the investment in each business.

Investors are also looking for a high level understanding of the legal structure of the company / group. Some companies include this information in their business model, however most include it elsewhere in the annual report. Investors are happy for this information to be cross-referenced if it is best included elsewhere in the annual report.
High level understanding of the markets in which it operates and how it engages with those markets

Key disclosure:
- What are the company's key markets and market segments?
  - Are there any key customers?
  - Is there a market concentration risk?

Other potential disclosure:
- What market share is held by the company and where is the statistic sourced?

To enable them to make an assessment of the current market potential and risk exposure, investors need to know the key markets in which the business operates, together with any key customers. Details of any market concentration risk are also of interest. For some investors, details of the company’s market share (together with the source of any statistic provided) further enables the assessment.

Lab comment
easyJet plc disclose their markets by showing the airports they fly to on a map in their business model disclosure.
Broad understanding of the nature of the relationships, resources and other inputs that are necessary for the success of the business

Key disclosure:
- What are the key relationships and resources required for the business model to succeed?
  - How are the key relationships and resources being maintained and enhanced?

Other potential disclosure:
- Is the company’s culture a business model driver? If so, how is it maintained, embedded and replicated?

By disclosing the key relationships and resources (or indicating those that are key when more than only the key relationships and resources are disclosed) required for the business model, investors can better understand the significant drivers of the business.

But investors require more than just a list of key inputs; they need to know how the company maintains and enhances those key relationships and resources. For instance, if employees are considered to be one of the key inputs, how much is invested in their development and what are staff retention rates?

Similarly, some investors are interested in how the company’s values and culture support the business model, but a list of corporate values is not considered helpful. How culture and values can be maintained and replicated is particularly relevant when new businesses are started or acquired.

ARM Holdings plc clearly set out the key inputs to their business, described as “What we need to execute our business model”. The description is entity specific, informative and supported by relevant statistics. This part of the disclosure flows to a description of “How we create value for our Partners”, which is included on p14 of this report.

Corporate culture and the business model

In July 2016, the FRC published Corporate culture and the role of boards, which highlights the role culture plays in protecting and delivering value.

The report defines corporate culture as “a combination of the values, attitudes and behaviours manifested by a company in its operations and relations with its stakeholders.”

It urges companies to consider the relationship between their desired culture, their business model, their corporate strategy and their corporate decision making process.

The report also highlights that investors gain a good deal of insight into the culture of a company through its articulation of its business model and the attitude to employees.
Other attributes of good business model disclosure identified by investors

Statistics

All investors believe that the use of statistics in business model disclosures can greatly improve the communication value of the disclosure. Statistics can effectively demonstrate the size, importance, and or significance of elements of the business model. Companies do this in a variety of ways, including:

- placing statistics next to relevant information; and
- providing tables and charts summarising relevant statistics.

Most investors prefer the disclosure of corresponding prior year statistics to be included for comparison. However, some investors believe three to five years of statistics are needed to highlight any trends in recent history.

A few investors highlight the importance of statistics to assist in understanding the business model. They state that ideally the disclosure would include a table with the following information for each significant business line (i.e. more granular than current segmental reporting for most groups), with five years of information for each statistic:

- name of business line;
- one sentence description of what it does;
- capital allocated;
- balance sheet assets allocated;
- revenue; and
- profit / margin.

Additional statistics relevant for each sector should also be included.

A small number of investors indicate that inclusion in the business model of cash flow and investment plans for each of the significant business lines would also provide valuable insight into the relevance of each, and how that may evolve.

A number of the reporting excerpts in this report demonstrate effective use of statistics.

Threats to the business model

Some investors believe disclosure of significant impending direct threats to the business model (i.e. more granular than current principal risk reporting) is helpful in understanding how the business model is responding. Examples provided by these investors include: concentration risk (e.g. customers or suppliers); the impact of the removal of mobile roaming charges in the EU on telecommunication company business models; and sensitivity to currency risk where costs and revenues are not in the same currency. Many investors also believe it is pertinent for energy and resource companies to disclose the likely impact of decarbonisation to their business models.

However, investors do not believe it is appropriate to include all environmental risks in the business model, or that such disclosures are relevant to the business models of the majority of companies. Where relevant to the company’s operations, investors think such high level risks are best discussed in the principal risk section of the strategic report and/or as part of scenario planning in the Viability Statement.

Some investors also suggest that the inclusion of a SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats) analysis would improve the quality of business model disclosures. These investors consider that a balanced SWOT analysis by the company of each business model may be very helpful, and a welcome addition to similar analyses often provided by sell side analysts.

“It would be refreshing to hear management’s view of the weaknesses and threats to the business model and how they manage them or why they believe they are acceptable.”

Investor

“Sometimes the core message gets lost because the statement is too comprehensive, with something for everyone but nothing of value for anyone.”

Investor

“If climate change or other threats are becoming a real constraint on the business model then they should be disclosed in the business model. If they are a future risk then they should be included in the risk section.”

Investor
How the business model is likely to evolve

A small number of investors would value disclosure on the company’s view of how the business model is likely to evolve in the near future (three to five years). This is expected to take account of the business model changes arising from threats and resulting from the successful implementation of the current strategy. Such disclosure could also support viability reporting.

However, the majority of investors think such disclosure would be excessive, and do not expect to see such disclosure very often.

International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) framework

The UK’s reporting framework allows companies a significant amount of flexibility to their approach to their annual report, enabling them to communicate their story to investors.

The IIRC’s reporting framework, known as the International <IR> Framework, can be adopted voluntarily in the UK and includes guidance for reporting on business models. The International <IR> Framework describes an organisation’s business model as “…its system of transforming inputs, through its business activities, into outputs and outcomes that aims to fulfil the organisation’s strategic purposes and create value over the short, medium and long term” and calls for disclosure in the business model of the key:

- inputs in relation to the six ‘Capitals’ (financial, manufactured, intellectual, human, social and relationship, and natural);
- business activities;
- outputs, and
- outcomes.

While the information to be included in business model disclosure under the International <IR> Framework is very similar to that under the Guidance on the Strategic Report, it encourages companies to think broadly about the inputs, outputs and outcomes of the business model and introduces its own language. Some investors consider the International <IR> Framework to be helpful as it provides a structured approach for companies to develop and present their business model disclosure.

However, some other investors believe it is not helpful to have an additional set of guidance, with its own language, provided by the IIRC. Additionally, some note that the way in which companies have implemented the International <IR> Framework is not helpful as they cover too much information, at a high level, without indicating which information is the most significant. For example, all ‘Capitals’ are commented on, but there is a lack of detail provided, and a lack of clarity as to which are most important to the business model. The <IR> Framework requires only those capitals that are material to the creation of value to be reported.

While some investors are not aware of the International <IR> Reporting Framework, those investors familiar with both the FRC and IIRC frameworks are of the view that company disclosures should cover the same information, making clear the key drivers of the business model, under either framework when applied correctly.

This is consistent with the expectations of the FRC and IIRC, both of which have stated that “Reports that follow the Guidance [on the strategic report] should result in reporting that is consistent with the International <IR> Framework.”
Summary of elements desired by investors in business model disclosure

This graphic summarises the elements of disclosure desired by investors to be included in business model disclosure, identified on the previous pages. Key elements are included in the centre, with other potential elements (mostly representing further detail on the information in the centre) included in the outer rings.

**Most Investors want the company to include:**
- What it does and where it sits in the value chain
- Key divisions and their contribution, and legal structure
  - Key markets and market segments
  - Its competitive advantage
- Key inputs (assets and liabilities, relationships and resources) and how they are maintained / enhanced
  - Key revenue and profit drivers
- Value created for other stakeholders that supports economic value generation
- Statistics to indicate relative importance of elements

**Many Investors also want:**
- Direct threats
- Market share

**Some Investors also want:**
- Culture and values
- SWOT analysis
- Purpose
- Investment plans
- How the business model is likely to evolve
- Cash flow
- Capital and Assets allocated to business
- ROE, ROCE, Or ROA*

---

* ROE = Return on Equity  
ROCE = Return on Capital Employed  
ROA = Return on Assets
Presentation of business model disclosure

Location

Nearly all investors believe the appropriate location for the business model disclosure is towards the front of the strategic report, with many believing it should be the first item, as it provides context and understanding for the other narrative and financial information included in the Annual Report.

A small number of investors consider that each company should determine the best location for the information in the strategic report to enable them to tell their story cohesively.

Language

Similar to our findings in previous projects, investors want business model disclosures to be written in plain, clear, concise and factual language.

Many investors comment that current disclosures often include promotional and aspirational language and statements, which they do not find helpful. Investors note that if such language/paragraphs are removed and disclosures improved in line with attributes of good disclosure identified in this report, they do not expect any significant increase in length of disclosure.

Communication approach

Most investors believe business model information is best communicated through a combination of narrative and infographics, tables and charts. Infographics, tables and charts help readers to assimilate information by breaking up text and, when done well, can be more effective and efficient at communicating information. Investors also note that an effective infographic summarising the business model is one that can be easily recalled when reading other areas of the annual report.

A small number of investors believe that narrative disclosure alone is the most effective way of communicating the business model, and efficient in terms of space. They note that for the vast majority of business model disclosures, the infographic is not helpful and distracts from useful business model disclosure.

This would suggest that companies communicate most effectively to a wide investor audience by including a detailed narrative along with any infographic used. Consideration should be given to whether a graphic depiction achieves greater impact and understandability than narrative alone (for instance, in depicting relationships or relative importance of elements).

Double page spreads

Many business model disclosures are presented as double page spreads which are designed to communicate the business model information most effectively when the two pages are viewed at the same time. In particular, large graphics are often presented over the two pages.

The Lab’s project report Digital Present published in May 2015 highlights that many investors read digital versions of annual reports on screen and that double page spreads may not always work on screen. The report suggested companies could overcome this by designing their annual reports for the screen, i.e. designing it in landscape instead of portrait, or in blocks of information that fit on a screen.

“Don’t just make assertions, say how you do it.”
Investor

“Graphics done well are helpful to make it come to life.”
Investor
Consistency of disclosure

As noted above, many companies are making significant changes to their business model disclosure each year, in an effort to improve the disclosure. While investors appreciate the improvements, they need to check the disclosure carefully to ascertain whether the underlying business model, or only the disclosure, has changed.

Some investors note that the articulation of the business model is often clearer and more detailed in company investor day slide packs, or for some companies, on the corporate website. Investors would like companies to develop one high quality disclosure and use it consistently across the different reporting channels, which will give the investment community confidence that the disclosed business model is the real business model.

Some investors believe companies could use digital capabilities to better present their business model on the corporate website, in a manner that is easy to access and assimilate.

Changes to the business model

Once the disclosure has been developed to clearly explain the business model at a level of detail that meets investor needs, investors expect that companies will only make further changes to the disclosure to reflect any changes in elements of the defined business model disclosure.

Such changes should be clearly identified, with any known upcoming changes also flagged. Investors want companies to clearly identify and explain, including the rationale for, any changes that have been made to the business model(s). This may be best achieved by presenting both the business model pre and post change for significant business lines where significant changes have been made. Investors believe they need to understand the business models in operation throughout the year, to enable them to understand and interpret the results correctly.

Similarly, investors expect any upcoming changes will be highlighted in advance as it is important information for their investment decision and their on-going assessment of their investment.

“There is often a disconnect between the capital markets day information and the annual report information.”

Investor

“If the business model disclosure is done as desired by investors there should not be much change year on year.”

Investor

“I want to see the business model in the annual report used elsewhere by the company – I want it to be something they use and believe in.”

Investor

“Business model disclosure may be even more important when things aren’t going well and business model is being tweaked – often the first time we know things are not going well is when the company issues a profit warning and changes the business model.”

Investor
Participants and process

Project participants join Lab projects by responding to a public call or are approached by the Lab. An iterative approach is taken with additional participants sought during the project to obtain input from various types of investors and analysts, and ensure a range of company examples and input.

It is not intended that participants represent a statistical sample. However, a range of companies participated (from AIM through to FTSE 100); and views were received from a range of UK and international institutional investors, analysts and retail investor associations.

References made in this report to views of ‘companies’ and ‘investors’ refer to the individuals from companies and investment community organisations that participated in this project. Views do not necessarily represent the views of the participants’ companies or organisations. The term ‘investors’ includes a broad range of individuals in their capacity as investors or their role in analyst organisations that work in the interest of investors in the UK and overseas markets.

Involvement of companies

The following companies volunteered to participate in the project:
- 3i Group plc
- Aberdeen Asset Management PLC
- Anglo American plc
- Ashmore Group plc
- Barclays
- BP plc
- BT Group plc
- Cairn Energy plc
- Deltex Medical Group plc
- Dialog Semiconductor Plc
- easyJet plc
- EMIS Group plc
- Hill & Smith Holdings PLC
- M.P. Evans Group PLC
- M&C Saatchi PLC
- Rio Tinto plc
- Schroders plc
- Smith & Nephew plc
- Standard Chartered PLC
- Vodafone Group Plc
- Standard Life Investments Limited
- Stifel Nicolaus Europe Ltd
- The Investment Association
- The Investor Forum CIC
- Two individual retail shareholders
- UK Shareholders’ Association

Project process

A combination of individual company meetings and round-table meetings were held with company participants to understand their process and challenges in presenting business model disclosures, and share their experiences.

The Lab prepared a discussion pack, which was shared with investors in advance of each meeting, containing reporting excerpts and the project questionnaire. The Lab met each investor to understand their views on current practice, how they use business model disclosures, and the information they are looking for in those disclosures.

In addition, three round table meetings were held with investors and company participants together, to further explore views and practical solutions.

The reporting suggestions provided in this report should be considered by companies in the context of their own circumstances and audience for reporting.
Appendix A: Vodafone Group Plc 2015, a good example

Our business model

What we offer
We provide a wide range of services including voice, messaging and data across mobile and fixed networks.

Where we operate
Our business is split across two geographic regions – Europe, and Africa, Middle East and Asia Pacific (AMAP), which includes our emerging markets.

The services we provide

Malcolm Cope Chief Executive Officer and Managing Director

The business model is split between fixed and mobile.

1. Vodafone estimates for the quarter ended 31 March 2015.
2. Common functions includes revenue from services to other operators using our network.
3. Other (includes service revenue from services to other operators using our network.
4. Excludes £3.7 billion of other revenue that mainly relates to the sale of equipment.

Lab comment
Investors comment favourably on Vodafone Group Plc’s 2015 business model disclosure, being their most favoured disclosure of those discussed in the project. While investors believe there is still room for improvement, they believe Vodafone’s disclosure is well presented and demonstrates the following attributes they associate with good disclosure:

What they do
✓ Plain language
✓ Charts, tables and graphics
✓ Markets and segments
✓ Customers
✓ Detailed narrative
✓ Revenue and cash flow
✓ Appropriate statistics
✓ Investment plans
✓ Assets supporting value generation
✓ Division contribution
✓ Competitive advantage
✓ Societal purpose
✓ Key inputs
Our business model (continued)

How we make money

We invest in superior telecommunications networks so that we can sustain high levels of cash generation, reward shareholders and reinvest in the business – thus creating a virtuous circle of investment, revenue, strong cash conversion and reinvestment.

Spectrum, network and IT infrastructure

We use our spectrum licences to provide the radio frequencies needed to deliver communications services. We combine our base station sites and our expertise in network management to transmit signals to mobile services. Through our fixed broadband assets and customer facing agreements with other operators, we provide broadband voice and TV services. Our IT strategy provides our data centres, customer relationship capability by customer billing services and online resources.

Revenue

The majority of our revenue comes from selling mobile voice and data. Mobile voice pay each monthly or fixed term contracts typically up to two years. In addition, for pay as you top up or plan in advance of usage. Enterprise customers are typically on contracts that last between two to three years. Over 90% of our mobile customers are individual consumers and the rest are enterprise customers. A growing share of mobile revenue arises from monthly fixed instead of rather than aeroplane, access, which is much more vulnerable to competitive and economic pressures.

Customer care is typically pay one to two year contracts, and as a result fixed revenue streams are more stable than mobile.

Cash flow

Our track record of converting revenue into cash flow is strong – with some £12.5 billion generated over the last three years. We achieve this by operating efficient networks where we seek to minimise costs, thus supporting our gross margin.

We also have strong local market share positions – as we are typically the first or second largest mobile operator in each of our markets with a share of more than 20%. This provides in-market scale efficiencies to support our EBITDA margins, which in turn provides healthy cash flow.

Reinvestment

Our cash flow helps us to maintain a high level of investment to give our customers a superior network experience, which over time should enable us to secure a premium positioning in most of our markets. We also continue to participate in spectrum auctions to secure a prominent share of spectrum.

Over the last three years we have committed £21 billion in capital investment in networks, IT and distribution, and a further £6.6 billion on the renewal and acquisition of spectrum and £1.6 billion on acquiring new fixed line businesses.

Shareholder returns

The cash generated from operations allows us to sustain generous shareholder returns while also investing in the future prosperity of the business. In the 2014 calendar year we were the fifth largest dividend payer in the FTSE 100. Over the last three years we have returned almost £7.5 billion to shareholders in the form of ordinary dividends, excluding share buy backs and the Verizon Wireless Return of Value. In addition we have increased the dividend per share every year for more than 15 years.

Beyond financial value – towards a sustainable business

Our business is founded on a powerful social good: we help millions of customers communicate, shop, create, learn and grow, and the rapid expansion of our networks is having a profound impact on the way people manage their daily lives.

Everyone we deal with, from our customers, shareholders, partners and suppliers, to our employees, regulators and NGOs, rightly expect us to act responsibly and with integrity at all times. The belief, expectations and concerns of this diverse range of stakeholders consequently shape our performance and success, influencing the way we make decisions.

We know that financial results alone are not enough: the societies and communities within which we operate want companies to focus on improving lives and livelihoods and to ensure that the expectation would now underpin our prospects for long-term value creation.

Network quality

We aim to have the highest mobile network in each of our markets, combined with competitive fixed networks in our main markets. This means giving our customers broadband coverage, a reliable connection, and increasing speeds and data capacity.

Key differentiators:

- We are typically within number one or number two mobile enterprise in most of our markets.
- We have a comprehensive portfolio of total communication services including mobile, fixed, Cloud and Hosting, and MVNO business solutions.

Branding and marketing

We communicate our services to customers through clear and effective branding and marketing. The strength of our brand is a major driver of purchasing decisions for consumers and enterprise customers alike. For example, in only 30 months, Vodafone Red, our globally transforming plan providing bundles of unlimited voice, text and generous data allowances, has over 20 million customers.

Key differentiators:

- Vodafone is the UK’s most valuable brand with an attributed worth of £0.27 billion.

Sales

We sell mobile services through a variety of distribution channels. Our shops are complete exclusive branded stores, distribution partners and our third party retailers. Our branded stores enable customers to test our products and services before they buy, obtain advice from sales advisors, and top-up their pre-paid plans. Online channels, whether accessed through a mobile device or PC, are becoming much more important, and we are upgrading our IT service to meet the growing demand. Branded channels include online and traditional account for around 40% of all new consumer contract customers and around 90% of contract renewals in Europe. Third party channels account for around 40% of sales.

Customer service

We have over 17,000 employees dedicated to providing customer service, supported by contractors and third parties. All call centres are available 24 hours a day, seven days a week in our European markets, and is now being rolled out across our remaining markets outside Europe. In an increasingly digital age, we also offer live watch capability and self-care, either via a handset, tablet or laptop, to enable customers to self-diagnose and receive their own queries.

Key differentiators:

- We offer a multi-channel and multi-lingual company, and our diverse workforce helps us to better understand and meet the needs of our customers.
- We employ people from over 130 countries, with a mix of cultures among our Salaried Leadership Team. For more information on our people see page 28.

How we set ourselves apart

We aim to differentiate ourselves from our competition by offering a leading network, leveraging the benefits of our large scale, global reach and international brand; by our leading position in enterprise; and by training and developing the best people.

The transition toward unified communications is changing how we reach customers and our fixed businesses are door to door selling and more value than our other services. Our mobile money service M-Pesa, enables users to top up their airtime as well as providing access to financial services. Read more about M-Pesa on page 28 and 30.

Key differentiators:

- We have over 15.000 exclusive branded shops across the globe.
- In India, we supplement our branded stores with 1.8 million small-scale outlets for top-ups, significantly more than our nearest competitor.
- In our established M-Pesa markets of Kenya and Tanzania we are the market leaders for mobile money services.

Participant and process

We are typically within number one or number two mobile enterprise in most of our markets.

Key differentiators:

- We have a comprehensive portfolio of total communication services including mobile, fixed, Cloud and Hosting, and MVNO business solutions.

Appendices

1. FTSE 100. Over the last three years we have committed £21 billion in capital investment in networks, IT and distribution, and a further £6.6 billion on the renewal and acquisition of spectrum and £1.6 billion on acquiring new fixed line businesses.
2. Vodafone Red, our globally transforming plan providing bundles of unlimited voice, text and generous data allowances, has over 20 million customers.
Appendix B: Deltex Medical Group plc, responding to investor input

Deltex Medical Group plc Report and Accounts 2014 p13

Group’s business model

Deltex Medical generates revenues from the sale of single patient disposable probes, from the sale of monitors and from providing maintenance and support services. The Group operates a direct sales force in the UK, USA and Spain. In Canada, the Group has entered into an agreement with its previous distributor to accelerate development within this territory of ODM through a jointly owned subsidiary of which the Group owns 51% of the shares. In all other territories, the Group sells to distributors. The Group continues to invest carefully to ensure that it maximises the opportunity from acceleration of the adoption rate of the Group’s products, whilst carefully managing its cash resources.

Lab comment

Deltex Medical Group plc is an AIM company and therefore exempt from the requirement to disclose their business model. However, the company included the business model disclosure on the left in their 2014 annual report. One investor participant in the Lab project comments positively on the simplicity of the disclosure and thinks it conveys much of the core business model information desired by investors. The company volunteered to update their disclosure in light of the findings of the Lab project (now published in this report), and taking into account direct feedback they received on their disclosure at a project round table. The updated disclosure represents an attempt to present the desired attributes and information desired by investors that are relevant to the company. The updated disclosure is presented on the next page. It does not necessarily represent future annual report disclosure.
Our gross margin earned in markets where we sell directly, namely the UK, USA, Canada and Spain, is higher than in those markets (over 30 countries) where we sell via distributors. Our gross margin earned on probes is typically over 75% in the UK, around 85% in the USA and 55% to 60% in our distributor led business.

In the UK, our key customer is the UK National Health Service (NHS). The NHS decided to adopt our technology “at pace and scale” following the 2011 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (“NICE”) recommendation of our CardioQ-ODM products for over 800,000 patients a year undergoing higher risk surgery in NHS hospitals. However, due to the fragmented nature of the NHS and its difficulties to adopt innovative technologies, our sales efforts are now focused on those individual NHS hospitals that are prepared to support individual anaesthetists or groups of anaesthetists who choose to deliver the clinical benefits to patients of intra-operative fluid management using ODM.

In the USA, recognition of the importance of modern ‘Enhanced Recovery’ approaches to surgery is growing at a hospital level as it becomes recognised that improving the quality of care provided to patients leads to better patient outcomes, lower costs of care and higher profits for the hospital care providers. Our focus in the USA has been to identify major hospitals that wish to implement evidence-based intra-operative fluid management, often as part of an enhanced recovery programme initiative, and partner with them to help them to achieve their objectives. We define these hospitals as ‘Platform Programme Accounts’. These accounts generally have the potential to consume at least 100 probes per month as the use of ODM spreads across surgical disciplines. The programme typically involves one area of surgery which adopts ODM into its protocols, measuring the changes in desired outcomes that are defined at the start of the project. This evidence is then used to develop similar protocols for use in other surgical procedures with the goal that ODM becomes the routine technology of choice in all of the operating rooms in that hospital. Our US market development plan, launched in 2013, was to have 30 such hospitals by US Labor Day 2016. At that date we had 27 such accounts, with a similar number of further accounts in the pipeline.

In our distributor led markets, our main focus is to work with those countries that have recognised the benefits of IOPM and/or enhanced recovery protocols and have a desire to implement these programmes nationally. This process usually starts with establishing contact with local Key Opinion Leaders in the countries who have the ability to implement at both hospitals and healthcare systems’ level. This requires support from Deltx Medical, as although we work closely with local distributors, they usually do not have the knowledge or background to drive these change programmes.

Our sales proposition to customers comprises both a capital purchase (the monitor) and a revenue purchase (the disposable probe). Due to the often protracted procurement times for capital items, we frequently need to place our monitors in hospitals, which can lead to the faster adoption of our technology into their practice and, therefore, a quicker consumption of revenue generating probes. All of our equipment is designed and manufactured in the UK giving us good control over both product quality and profit margins.

Our Group with its ‘Gold Standard’ ODM technology is well placed to grow through wider acceptance of the clinical benefits to patients of intra-operative fluid management using ODM.

The global market opportunity for haemodynamic monitoring equipment, of which a large proportion relates to disposables, is expected to reach US$1.1 billion by 2019 at a CAGR of 4.5% during the forecast period.

The Group with its ‘Gold Standard’ ODM technology is well placed to grow through wider acceptance of the fact that too much or too little fluid given to patients intra-operatively can cause harm and, therefore, it is important to get the amount of fluid given ‘just right’ for each and every patient. This can only be achieved through use of ODM.
Lab project reports

The Lab’s project reports provide practical suggestions on reporting from our work with the corporate and investment communities.

Each of the following reports suggests reporting that is focused on meeting the needs of the investment community for consideration by companies.

Thematic:
- Disclosure of dividends – policy and practice
- Corporate reporting in a Digital World - Digital Present
- Towards Clear & Concise Reporting

Governance:
- Reporting of Audit Committees
- A single figure for remuneration
- Reporting of pay and performance

Financial Reporting:
- Accounting Policies and integration of related financial information
- Debt terms and maturity tables
- Net debt reconciliations
- Operating and investing cash flows
- Presentation of market risks disclosures

Case Studies:
- William Hill plc – accounting policies

Reports and information about the Lab can be found at:
https://www.frc.org.uk/Lab

Follow us on Twitter @FRCnews or LinkedIn

The FRC is responsible for promoting high quality corporate governance and reporting to foster investment. We set the UK Corporate Governance and Stewardship Codes as well as UK standards for accounting, auditing and actuarial work. We represent UK interests in international standard-setting. We also monitor and take action to promote the quality of corporate reporting and auditing. We operate independent disciplinary arrangements for accountants and actuaries; and oversee the regulatory activities of the accountancy and actuarial professional bodies.

The FRC does not accept any liability to any party for any loss, damage or costs howsoever arising, whether directly or indirectly, whether in contract, tort or otherwise from any action or decision taken (or not taken) as a result of any person relying on or otherwise using this document or arising from any omission from it.


The Financial Reporting Council Limited is a company limited by guarantee.