DISCLOSURE LOG- FOIA Requests

Linday that Engage						
Under the Freedom of Information Act, please could I request the following information:						
QUESTION 1: Please advise how many Data Subject Access Requests (hereafter referred to as SARs) you received and responded to in 2021, 2022 and 2023 relating to employees (past or present) and other requests (e.g. customers, general public, service users etc).						
	Number of SARs requests in 2021	Number of SARs requests in 2022	Number of SARs requests in 2023			
Employees (past and present)						
Other (e.g. customers, general public, service users)				2)		
The next few questions relate to the SARs process which includes collating (pulling the data together from across your organisation/department), redacting the data, pulling the information/data together into a response and checking the information before issuing it to the requestor. QUESTION 2: When responding to SARS do you manage the process in-house, or do you outsource the whole or part of the process? And if conducted in house please specify the name of the team/function that is responsible for this part of the process (e.g. Data Privacy, HR, etc). If for example you outsource one type of SAR (e.g. employee) but complete others (e.g. citizen/customer) inhouse, please provide details.						
O Haffa at the state	- And Brown Hard State	In house (please specify)	Outsourced			
together from ac organisation/dep	ross your partment),					
Pulling the inforr into a response	nation/ data together					
it to the requesto						
Approximately how			ther a typical SARs response, and putting the information			
	Please advise how received and resp other requests (e.: Employees (past and present) Other (e.g. customers, general public, service users) The next few quest together from acrodata together from acrodata together into QUESTION 2: When responding whole or part of the team/function that If for example you citizen/customer) i Collating the dat together from acroganisation/deg. Redacting the defending the information are sponse checking the information are	Please advise how many Data Subject Areceived and responded to in 2021, 2022 other requests (e.g. customers, general public past and present) Other (e.g. customers) The next few questions relate to the SAR together from across your organisation/d data together into a response and checking for example you outsource one type of citizen/customer) inhouse, please provides Collating the data (pulling the data together from across your organisation/department). Redacting the data Pulling the information/ data together into a response checking the information before issuing it to the requestor QUESTION 3: Approximately how many working hours of Approximately how many working hours of the requestor QUESTION 3: Approximately how many working hours of the requestor QUESTION 3: Approximately how many working hours of the requestor QUESTION 3: Approximately how many working hours of the requestor QUESTION 3: Approximately how many working hours of the requestor QUESTION 3: Approximately how many working hours of the requestor QUESTION 3: Approximately how many working hours of the requestor QUESTION 3: Approximately how many working hours of the requestor QUESTION 3: Approximately how many working hours of the requestor QUESTION 3:	Please advise how many Data Subject Access Requests (hereat received and responded to in 2021, 2022 and 2023 relating to er other requests (e.g. customers, general public, service users etc. Number of SARs requests in 2021 Rumber of SARs requests in 2022	Please advise how many Data Subject Access Requests (hereafter referred to as SARs) you received and responded to in 2021, 2022 and 2023 relating to employees (past or present) and other requests (e.g. customers, general public, service users etc). Number of SARs requests in 2021 requests in 2022 in 2023		

 The number of Data Subject Access Requests ("SARs") the FRC received and responded to in 2021, 2022, and 2023 are as follows:

Employees (past and present)	Number of SARs requests in 2021 1		Number of SARs requests in 2023 0
Other (e.g. customers, general public, service users)	3	3	0

Please see our response below:

	In house (please specify)	Outsourced
Collating the data (pulling the data together from across your organisation/depart ment),	The Freedom of Information Team manages the in-house collation of data across the organisation. This involves liaising with the organisation's IT department to undertake keyword searches.	The FRC's IT department may instruct the organisation's external supplier of IT services to undertake keyword searches.
Redacting the data	The Freedom of Information Team.	The Freedom of Information Team may outsource the preparation of redactions. Where this work is outsourced, the Freedom of Information Team oversees the outsourced work and approves the final redactions.
Pulling the information/ data together into a response	The Freedom of Information Team.	The Freedom of Information Team may outsource the initial preparation of a response. Where this work is

Date of response	Nature of request	Respo	onse				
	QUESTION 4: What is the estimated percentage of handwritten documentation within a typical SAR response?				outsourced, the Freedom of Information Team oversees the outsourced work and approves the final response.		
			checking the information before issuing it to the requestor	The Freedom of Information Team and the teams within the FRC that hold the information that is to be disclosed in the response to the requestor.			
		4) T	The percentage of han he period 2021 to 202 period as your first que	rd of the information you have dwritten documentation within 3. The FRC has understood yo stion. The percentage for that ed documents bearing handwri	a typical FRC SAR response our 4 th question as relating to t period has been calculated by	he same / dividing the	
20/02/2024	Regarding FOI request F294 Flagge, you mentioned that three meetings were held between Jon Thompson and Lex Greensill, on 13,17,27 November 2020. As a new request, please can you provide, in respect of those 3 meetings: 1) Details of the other attendees of each call/meeting 2) A copy of the agenda for each meeting 3) A copy of the minutes of each meeting	13 and fact at				ers did guidance. that disclos an incomple explain this would preference.	ed [IH1]: Thanks for linking the relevant ICO This ends by saying: If you are concerned sing the information you hold would provide lete or an inaccurate picture, you should s to the requester as soon as possible. // I er that we include some explanation of the the information.
31/01/2024	1. Please can you confirm that the below link comprises all the outcomes for audit and nonaudit cases under the Accountancy Scheme since 7th July 2015? Ie. that your site is not missing any cases and there were a total of 32 outcomes under the Accountancy Scheme? https://www.frc.org.uk/library/enforcement/enforcementcases/outcomes/?audit=&enforcement_regime=accountancy+scheme&query= 2. Please can you tell me what the start point of the above list is? Ie. is it accurate to say it comprises all the audit and non-audit Accountancy Scheme outcomes since January 2015, or 1 April 2015, or another date? 3. Please can you tell me how may audit and non-audit investigations the FRC opened under the Accountancy Scheme for each of the years since 2015?		s follows: 1. The link you have under the Accoun determined shoul regarding decision website will not abovementioned 2. 2. The start date is all the audit and n for the reasons gi 3. 3. The number 6.	coints raised in your request, use cited does not comprise all the tancy Scheme since 7 July 20 do be published on its website as under the Accountancy and contain details of cases it loolicy, should no longer be published from 1 April 2016. However, ton-audit Accountancy Scheme ven in the paragraph above. Of audit and non-audit investieme since 2015, are as follows	ne outcomes for audit and no no 115. The FRC only announces in accordance with its 'Publ Actuarial Schemes1'. In additional additional accordance outcomes from that date (or agations the FRC has opening the outcomes of the scheme outcomes from that date (or agations the FRC has opening the outcomes of the outcomes from that date (or agations the FRC has opening the outcomes from that date (or agations the FRC has opening the outcomes from the from the from the from the from the from the outcomes from the from t	your request, n-audit cases s cases it has ication Policy tion, the FRC nce with the ttain details of another date)	e inomaton.
			Year	Number of Audit	cases Num	ber of Non-Auc	
		1	2015		4		

Date of response	Nature of request	Respon	ise			
-			2017		0	
			2018		1	
			2019		0	
			2020		1	
			2021		0	
			2022		1	
			2023		0	
			Total		11	
31/01/2024	I saw this story in the FT this morning: https://www.ft.com/content/da6d2386-ded4-490fb4b3-ec27a3f20983 Can I ask the following under FOI: 1. How many accountants have you investigated in each calender [sic] year since 2004 (when the accountancy scheme rule mentioned in the FT story came into force). 2. How many accountants that work in local	Addition account under https://n 1.pdf S account of any o the conc which co	ation may involve mail information for cancy profession. By media.frc.org.uk/doccheme the FRC pancy bodies' regula ne of the six charter duct of their member overs all statutory cancy Scheme. Infoere. Number of individ	uments/ActuarialAccountancy_ performs a non-statutory role p tion of their members. Individual si- ed accountancy bodies, which als s. Since the introduction of the Au audit matters (see here), fewe rmation on the FRC's enforcemental	al bodies which regulate m accountancy bodies (list a Accountancy scheme publication policy providing oversight of the accountants and firms may so conduct disciplinary invedit Enforcement Procedure r cases have been pursunt cases under different reder the Accountancy Scheme	embers of the vailable here), 1 Jan 202 professional be a member stigations into in June 2016, ed under the gimes may be me from 2013
	authorities have you investigated each calender [sic] year since 2004. [3. Please provide a list of local authorities in England that have had accountants investigated at (and the year they were investigated). Please provide clarity if an accountant has been investigated twice or if an authority (but different accountants) has been investigated twice.] If you can't provide figures as far back as then, as per FOI legislation, please provide me with an suggestion to revise this request down (or figures for the years that you can provide).		Year (in Nopened/annous) 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021	vhich investigation was need)	Number of investigatior individual accountants Accountancy Scheme 13 21 12 17 3 9 2 2 7	

30

Date of	Nature of request	Response
response		2000
		2022 2
		2023 3
		2024 (to 25 January) -
		Notes:
20/01/2024	1) What is the number of audit firms regulated by the FRC? I would like the data broken down for each of the last five years with a year-end date of 30 November or whichever year-end is most convenient. If you cannot provide data for each of the last four years, then please provide data for each of the last four years. If you cannot provide data for the last three years, then please provide data for the last three years. If you cannot provide data for the last three years, then please provide data for the last two years, then please provide the most recent year." On 2 January 2024, we sought clarification of your interpretation of "audit firms regulated by the FRC", to which you responded on the same day confirming that you would like to know the "number of statutory audit firms registered to perform activities for public interest entities in the UK.	a. The figures above include ongoing cases and cases where investigations were opened but did not result in disciplinary action, as well as those where there was a published enforcement outcome following investigation. b. The figures include cases where the FRC decided it would not be appropriate to announce the opening of the investigation, pursuant to the Publication Policy regarding decisions under the Accountancy and Actuarial Schemes. c. The figures do not include investigations under the Accountancy Scheme into accountancy firms (rather than individual accountants). 2. Number of accountants working in local authorities investigated under the Accountancy Scheme from 2013 by calendar year: 1 in 2023 (none prior to this investigation). 3. Local authorities which have had accountants investigated under the Accountancy Scheme from 2013: Thurrock Council, investigation opened in 2023 (none prior to this investigation). Additional information for context The FRC is one of a number of bodies which regulate individual members of the accountancy profession. By agreement with the six chartered accountancy bodies (list available here), the FRC has a non-statutory role for oversight of the regulation by the professional accountancy bodies of their members, under the Accountancy Scheme, Individual accountants may be a member of any one of the six chartered accountancy bodies, which also conduct disciplinary investigations into the conduct of their members. The scope of the Accountancy Scheme, and therefore the number of cases brought under it, is limited by the Audit Enforcement Procedure, which covers all statutory audit matters (see here). Information on the FRC's enforcement cases under different regimes may be found here. The FRC holds some of the information you have requested in respect of the number of statutory audit firms registered to perform activities for public interest entities (PIEs) in the UK. You have asked for data for each of the last five years. The way in which the FRC manages and records the inf

Date of response	Nature of request	Response				
Тезропзе		Date	Number of audit firms performing audit activities for public interest entities operating in the UK as at 31st December			
		2021	44 ¹			
		2020	38 ²			
		2019	40³			
		"to undertake S are, or may be Register (PAR) undertake, Statt the Regulations UKregulated ma unions or frient regulations. The to the financial y registered with You may like to	The Regulations came into effect on 5 December 2022. The Regulations stipulate that, from that date: "to undertake Statutory Audit Work for PIEs, (i) audit firms and (ii) Responsible Individuals (RIs) who are, or may be, responsible for signing an audit report for a PIE, must be on the FRC's PIE Auditor Register (PAR)." It is up to the firm or RI to determine whether they are undertaking, or plan to undertake, Statutory Audit Work on a PIE. The types of audited entities likely to fall within the scope of the Regulations include UK-incorporated entities listed on the London Stock Exchange (or other UKregulated market); UK registered banks, building societies or other credit institutions (but not credit unions or friendly societies); or a UK insurance entity which is in the scope of the Solvency II regulations. The FRC will determine whether a firm or RI must be registered on the PAR by reference to the financial year which is the subject of the audit. As at 5 December 2022, there were 35 audit firms registered with the FRC. As at 5 December 2023, there were 41 audit firms registered with the FRC. You may like to know that the FRC website provides a PIE Auditor Register Firms List (accessible here) which reflects the audit firms on the PIE Auditor Register on the published date.			

¹ This number includes the National Audit Office.

² This number includes Audit Scotland and the National Audit Office.

³ This number includes Audit Scotland, the National Audit Office and Audit Wales.