

July 2016

Feedback Statement:

Review of the UK Audit Firm Governance Code

The FRC is responsible for promoting high quality corporate governance and reporting to foster investment. We set the UK Corporate Governance and Stewardship Codes as well as UK standards for accounting, auditing and actuarial work. We represent UK interests in international standard-setting. We also monitor and take action to promote the quality of corporate reporting and auditing. We operate independent disciplinary arrangements for accountants and actuaries, and oversee the regulatory activities of the accountancy and actuarial professional bodies.

The FRC does not accept any liability to any party for any loss, damage or costs howsoever arising, whether directly or indirectly, whether in contract, tort or otherwise from any action or decision taken (or not taken) as a result of any person relying on or otherwise using this document or arising from any omission from it.

© The Financial Reporting Council Limited 2016
The Financial Reporting Council Limited is a company limited by guarantee.
Registered in England number 2486368. Registered Office:
8th Floor, 125 London Wall, London EC2Y 5AS

Introduction

In December 2015 the FRC issued a consultation document proposing a number of changes to the Audit Firm Governance Code ("the Code"). The publication of a draft revised Code followed a review of the operation of the Code, which had found that in most respects it was working well. The main changes in the draft revised Code followed feedback from stakeholders and were intended to:

- Sharpen the Code's purpose and ensure audit quality is clearly embedded in this;
- Promote a clear focus on audit quality in the work of the independent nonexecutives (INEs);
- Strengthen investors' engagement with the firms;
- Promote a culture of ethics and professionalism within the firms;
- Improve transparency in the firms' reporting against the Code;
- Promote the adoption of some aspects of the Corporate Governance Code which we consider to be most applicable to audit firms, on a comply or explain basis; and
- Promote the adoption of independent challenge within the governance of the firms' international networks.

The consultation closed on 11 March 2016 and this paper summarises the main points from the responses, the decisions taken by the FRC and the reasons for those decisions.

The new edition of the Code will apply to reporting periods beginning on or after 1 September 2016.

Summary of responses

The FRC received 12 responses to the consultation. Of these, eight were from audit firms, two from professional accountancy bodies, one from an association of investors and one from an academic. Copies of all responses can be found on the FRC's website here:

https://www.frc.org.uk/Our-Work/Publications/FRC-Board/Review-of-the-UK-Audit-Firm-Governance-Code-Fe-(1)/Responses-to-feedback-statement.aspx

The majority of responses were broadly supportive of the draft revised Code, However, there were two particular areas where several responses expressed concern:

- The minimum number of INEs. The original Code does not specify any minimum number. The revised draft stated that firms should have at least two and ideally three INEs. We received some feedback that this could be a challenge for some non-Big Four firms applying the Code and also that this provision might act as a barrier to the adoption of the Code internationally.
- The interaction of the Code with the Corporate Governance Code and in particular the proposal that firms should consider whether they ought to adopt aspects of the Corporate Governance Code and to report on this in their transparency reports. A number of responses have queried this approach and suggested instead that the Code state specifically which provisions the firms should apply.

Minimum number of INEs

We have discussed this extensively internally and also met with one firm which had expressed particularly strong reservations.

The FRC's view remains that, in order to provide an effective challenge, INEs need to be of sufficient number to make their voice heard within the firm. We continue to believe that three is an appropriate number to achieve this. We do, however, recognise that some of the firms applying the Code may consider that, in view of their size or the nature of their client base, two is sufficient.

We would stress that the Code operates on a comply or explain basis and firms who wish to appoint fewer than three INEs are at liberty to do so and to explain the reason for their decision in their annual transparency report. However, we acknowledge the concerns expressed in the feedback and so we have amended the wording to C.1.1 to address these.

Interaction with Corporate Governance Code

The Code already has a considerable number of commonalities with the Corporate Governance Code. The revised draft brought across a few more, including term limits for INEs and a requirement for at least one to have relevant experience. Our intention in proposing that firms consider for themselves which additional aspects of the Code to adopt was intended to stimulate the development of improved governance within the firms in a way which recognises their different structures and approaches. We have retained the suggestion that firms consider this but have provided additional guidance on Corporate Governance Code provisions which may be most relevant, including a checklist appended to the Code.

Other matters

In articulating the purpose of the Code we have removed the reference to preserving audit capacity. Whilst we continue to believe that there is a strong public interest in securing sufficient audit capacity in the market, we recognise that the Code may not be the most effective way to achieve this.

Firms have indicated that they would welcome more structured feedback on transparency reports, including "what good looks like" - we have committed to including this in future reviews of firms' transparency reporting.

We have deleted the previous appendix titled "Involvement of independent non-executives"; this was primarily an introduction to the concept and the role that they might play. We consider that the concept is now well-known and the role is better described in the main body of the Code.

In response to a specific concern about the drafting of the appendix titled "Independence considerations" we have included a cross-reference to the Ethical Standards and an explicit statement that it is not envisaged that independent non-executives would be "covered persons" with respect to their personal independence requirements.

Impact

As a "comply or explain" Code the financial impact is hard to quantify. In essence, firms can spend as little or as much resource as they wish in applying the Code.

We note that:

- All firms which are within the scope of the Code are already applying it. One other applies it on a voluntary basis.
- Applying the revised Code should not require the firms to implement any new processes or systems. The main changes relate to transparency and the way in which matters are reported.
- Most importantly and as noted above, the Code is comply or explain. Firms which do not wish to apply any particular provision need only explain their reasoning in their annual transparency report.

In terms of qualitative impact, it is our belief that the revised Code will build on the existing improvements to audit firm governance and help to increase confidence via improved transparency and additional routes for dialogue between audit firms and investors. We will report on the quality of firms' transparency reports, and highlight developing bets practice, on a regular basis.

Appendix

Summary of the differences between the 2010 and 2016 editions of the Code

2010 edition		2016 edition
Introduction		Rewritten
A.1.1	The firm should establish board or other governance structures, with matters specifically reserved for their decision, to oversee the activities of the management team.	The firm should establish <u>a</u> board or <u>other</u> governance structures <u>equivalent</u> governance structure, with matters specifically reserved for <u>their its</u> decision, to oversee the activities of the management team.
A.1.2	The firm should state in its transparency report how its governance structures and management team operate, their duties and the types of decisions they take.	A.1.2 The firm should state in its transparency report how its governance structures and management team-operate, their duties and the types of decisions they take. In doing so the firm should explain how its governance structure provides oversight of both the audit practice and the firm as a whole with a focus on ensuring the Code's purpose is achieved. If the management and/or governance of the firm rests at an globalinternational level it should specifically set out how management and oversight of audit is undertaken and the Code's purpose achieved in the UK.
A.1.3	The firm should state in its transparency report the names and job titles of all members of the firm's governance structures and its management team, how they are elected or appointed and their terms, length of service, meeting attendance in the year, and relevant biographical details.	A.1.3 The firm should state in its transparency report the names and job titles of all members of the firm's governance structures and its management team, how they are elected or appointed and their terms, length of service, meeting attendance in the year, and relevant biographical details. 1 Relevant being judged by reference as to the Code's purpose.

4 Feedback Statement: Review of the UK Audit Firm Governance Code (July 2016)

A.1.4 The firm's governance structures and management team and their members should be subject to formal, rigorous and on-going performance evaluation and, at regular intervals, members should be subject to reelection or re-selection.,	A.1.4 The members of a firm's governance structures and management team and their members should be subject to formal, rigorous and ongoing performance evaluation and, at regular intervals, members should be subject to re-election or re-selection	
A.2.1 The management team should have terms of reference that include clear authority over the whole firm including its non-audit businesses and these should be disclosed on the firm's website.	A.2.1 The mManagement team should have terms of reference that include clear authority over the whole firm including its non-audit businesses and these should be disclosed on the firm's website.	
B.1 Professionalism principle A firm should perform quality work by exercising judgement and upholding values of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour in a way that properly takes the public interest into consideration.	B.1 Professionalism principle A firm should perform quality work by exercising judgement and upholding values of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour in a way that properly takes the public interest into consideration and meets auditing and ethical standards.	
B.1.1 The firm's governance structures and management team should set an appropriate tone at the top through its policies and practices and by publicly committing themselves and the whole firm to quality work, the public interest and professional judgement and values.	B.1.1 The firm's governance structures and management team-should establish and promote throughout the firm set an appropriate culture, supportive of the firm's public interest role and long term sustainability. This should be achieved in particular through the right tone at from the top, through its the firm's policies and practices and by management publicly committing themselves and the whole firm to quality work, the public interest and professional judgement and values.	

	Now provision
	New provision
	B.1.2 Firms should introduce KPIs for their Boards and INEson the performance of their governance system, and report on performance against these in their transparency reports.
B.1.2 The firm should have a code of conduct which it discloses	Renumbered
on its website and requires everyone in the firm to apply.	B.1.32 The firm should have a code of conduct which it discloses on its website and requires everyone in the firm to apply. The Board and independent non-executives should oversee compliance with it.
C.1 Involvement of independent non- executives principle	C.1 Involvement of independent non- executives principle
A firm should appoint independent non-executives who through their involvement collectively enhance shareholder confidence in the public interest aspects of the firm's decision making, stakeholder dialogue and management of reputational risks including those in the firm's businesses that are not otherwise effectively addressed by regulation	A firm should appoint independent non-executives to the governance structure who through their involvement collectively enhance the firm's performance in meeting shareholder confidence in the purpose of the Code the public interest aspects of the firm's decision making, stakeholder dialogue and management of reputational risks including those in the firm's businesses that are not otherwise effectively addressed by regulation. They should report in particular on their involvement.
C.1.1 Independent non-executives should: have the majority on a body that oversees public interest matters; and/or be members of other relevant governance structures within the firm. They should also meet as a separate group to discuss matters relating to their remit.	C.1.1 Independent non-executives should number at least three and be in two and ideally: have the majority on a body that oversees public interest matters; and/or be members of other relevant governance structures within the firm. They should also meet as a separate group to discuss matters relating to their remitThey should have full visibility of the entirety of the business but should pay

particular attention to and report on risks to audit quality and how they are addressed. If a firm considers that having three INEs is inappropriate given its size or number of public company clients, it should explain this in its transparency report and ensure a minimum of two at all times. Where the firm adopts an international approach to its management it should have at least three INEs with specific responsibility and relevant experience to focus on the UK business and to take part in governance arrangements for this market; or explain why it regards a smaller number to be more appropriate, in which event there should be a minimum of two. C.1.2 The firm should disclose on C.1.2 The firm should disclose on its website information about its website and in its the appointment, retirement transparency report information about the and resignation of independent non-executives; appointment, retirement and their duties and the resignation of independent arrangements by which they non-executives; their discharge those duties; and remuneration; their duties the obligations of the firm to and the arrangements by support them. The firm which they discharge those should also disclose on its duties; and the obligations of website the terms of the firm to support them. The reference and composition of firm should report on why it any governance structures has chosen to position its whose membership includes independent non-executives in the way it has (for independent non-executives. example, as members of the main Board or on a public interest committee). The firm should also disclose on its website the terms of reference and composition of any governance structures whose membership includes independent non-executives. New provision added

	C.1.3 The INEs should report in the firm's transparency report on how they have worked to meet the purpose of the Code defined as: Promoting audit quality. Helping the firm secure its reputation more broadly, including in its non-audit businesses. Reducing the risk of firm failure.
	New provision added
	C.1.4 Independent non-executives should have regular contact with the Ethics Partner, who should under the ethical standards have a reporting line to them.
C.2 Characteristics of independent non-executives principle	C.2 Characteristics of independent non-executives principle
The independent non-executives' duty of care is to the firm. They should command the respect of the firm's owners and collectively enhance shareholder confidence by virtue of their independence, number, stature, experience and expertise.	The independent non-executives' duty of care is to the firm. They should command the respect of the firm's owners and collectively enhance shareholder confidence by virtue of their independence, number, stature, experience and expertise. They firm should have a balance of relevant skills and experience including of audit and a regulated sector. At least one independent non-executive should have competence in accounting and/or auditing, gained for example from a role on an audit committee, in a company's finance function, as an investor or at an audit firm.
C.3 Rights of independent non- executives principle	C.3 Rights and responsibilities of independent non-executives principle
Independent non-executives of a firm should have rights consistent with their role including a right of access to relevant information and people to the extent permitted by law or regulation,	Independent non-executives of a firm should have rights consistent with their role including a right of access to relevant information and people to the extent permitted by law or regulation,

and a right to report a fundamental disagreement regarding the firm to its owners and, where ultimately this cannot be resolved and the independent non-executive resigns, to report this resignation publicly.	and a right to report a fundamental disagreement regarding the firm to its owners and, where ultimately this cannot be resolved and the independent non-executive resigns, to report this resignation publicly.
	C 3.2 Independent non-executives should be appointed for specific terms and any term beyond nine years should be subject to particularly rigorous review and explanation.
C.3.2 The firm should ensure that appropriate indemnity insurance is in place in respect of legal action against any independent non-executive.	C 3.3 The responsibilities of an independent non-executive should include, but not be limited to, oversight of the firm's policies and processes for: Promoting audit quality. Promoting audit quality. Helping the firm secure its reputation more broadly, including in its non-audit businesses. Reducing the risk of firm failure. Renumbered C.3.4 The firm should ensure that appropriate indemnity insurance is in place in respect of legal action
C.3.3 The firm should provide each independent non-executive with sufficient resources to undertake their duties including having access to independent professional advice at the firm's expense where an independent non-executive judges such advice necessary to discharge their duties.	against any independent non-executive in respect of their work in that role. Renumbered to C.3.5

and processors and pr	firm should establish, disclose on its website, cedures for dealing with fundamental agreement that cannot erwise be resolved ween the independent executives and mbers of the firm's hagement team and/or ernance structures.	Renumbered to C.3.6	
D.1 Complianc	e principle	D.1 Compliance principle	
A firm should constandards and regulatory requiren	omply with professional applicable legal and nents	• • • •	nd ons tt outation
D.2 Risk mana	gement principle	D.2 Risk management principle	•
A firm should maintain a sound system of internal control and risk management over the operations of the firm as a whole to safeguard the owners' investment and the firm's assets.		A firm should maintain a sound sy of internal control and risk manag over the operations of the firm as whole to safeguard the owners' investment and the firm's assets reassure stakeholders.	ement a
anni the syst The mati finai com	firm should, at least ually, conduct a review of effectiveness of the firm's em of internal control. review should cover all erial controls, including ncial, operational and apliance controls and risk nagement systems.	D.2.1 The firm should, at lea annually, conduct a re the effectiveness of the system of internal con INEs should be involved. The the review which cover all material con including financial, operational and compactories and risk management systems well as the promotion appropriate culture underpinned by sound values and behaviour the firm.	eview of ne firm's ntrol. yed in should trols, bliance sas of an
syst and	naintaining a sound em of internal control risk management and in ewing its effectiveness,	D.2.3 The firm should carry robust assessment of principal risks facing i including those that w	<u>the</u> t –

the firm should use a recognised framework such as the Turnbull Guidance and disclose in its transparency report the framework it has used.	threaten its business model, future performance, solvency or liquidity. This should reference specifically the sustainability of the audit practice within the UK. In maintaining a sound system of internal control and risk management and in reviewing its effectiveness, the firm should use a recognised framework such as the Turnbull Guidance and disclose in its transparency report the framework it has used.
D.3.2 Independent non-executives should be involved in reviewing people management policies and procedures	D.3.2 Independent non-executives should be involved in reviewing people management policies and procedures, including remuneration and incentive structures, to ensure that the public interest is protected.
D.4 Whistleblowing principle	D.4 Whistleblowing principle
A firm should establish and apply confidential whistleblowing policies and procedures across the firm which enable people to report, without fear, concerns about the firm's commitment to quality work and professional judgement and values in a way that properly takes the public interest into consideration.	A firm should establish and apply confidential whistleblowing policies and procedures across the firm which enable people to report, without fear, concerns about the firm's commitment to quality work and professional judgement and values in a way that properly takes the public interest into consideration. The independent non-executives should be satisfied that there is an effective whistleblowing process in place.
E.1 Internal reporting principle	"Team" deleted
The management team of a firm should ensure that members of its governance structures, including owners and independent non-executives, are supplied with information in a timely manner and in a form and of a quality appropriate to enable them to discharge their duties.	

E.2 Financial statements principle	Renumbered
A firm should publish audited financial statements prepared in accordance with a recognised financial reporting framework such as International Financial Reporting Standards or UK GAAP.	E.5 Financial statements principle A firm should publish audited financial statements prepared in accordance with a recognised financial reporting framework such as International Financial Reporting Standards or UK GAAP, and should be clear and concise.
E.2.1 The firm should explain who is responsible for preparing the financial statements and the firm's auditors should make a statement about their reporting responsibilities.	E.5.1 The firm should explain who is responsible for preparing the financial statements and the firm's auditors should make a statement about their reporting responsibilities, preferably in accordance with the extended audit report standards.
E.2.2 The firm should report that it is a going concern, with supporting assumptions or qualifications as necessary.	E.5.2 The firm should should report that it is a going concern, with supporting assumptions or qualifications as necessary. state whether it considers it appropriate to adopt the going concern basis of accounting and identify any material uncertainties to its ability to continue to do so, with supporting assumptions or qualifications as necessary.
E.3 Management commentary principle	E.3 Management commentary Transparency principle
The management of a firm should publish on an annual basis a balanced and understandable commentary on the firm's financial performance, position and prospects.	The management of aA firm management of a firm should publish on an annual basis in the firm'sits transparency report a balanced and understandable commentary on the firm's financial performance, position and prospects.
E.3.1 The firm should include in its management commentary its principal risks and uncertainties, identifying	E.3.1 The firm should confirm that it has carried out a robust assessment of the principal risks facing the audit firm.

those related to litigation, and report how they are managed in a manner consistent with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework.	including those that would threaten its business model, future performance, solvency or liquidity. The managementfirm should describe those risks and explain how they are being managed or mitigated. The firm should include in its management commentary its principal risks and uncertainties, identifying those related to litigation, and report how they are managed in a manner consistent with the requirements of the
	applicable financial reporting framework.
	New provision added
	E.3.2 The transparency report should be fair, balanced and understandable in its entirety.
E.4 Governance reporting principle	Renumbered
A firm should publicly report how it has applied in practice each of the principles of the Audit Firm Governance Code excluding F.2 on shareholder dialogue and F.3 on informed voting and make a statement on its compliance with the Code's provisions or give a considered explanation for any non-compliance.	E.2 Governance reporting principle A firm should publicly report how it has applied in practice each of the principles of the Audit Firm Governance Code excluding F.2 on shareholder dialogue and F.3 on informed voting, and make a statement on its compliance with the Code's provisions or give a considered explanation for any non-compliance.
E.4.1 The firm should publish on its website an annual transparency report containing the disclosures required by Code Provisions A.1.2, A.1.3, C.2.1, D.1.3, D.2.2 and D.2.3.	E.2.1 The firm should publish on its website an annual transparency report containing the disclosures required by Code Provisions A.1.2, A.1.3, B1.2, C.2.1, D.1.3, D.2.2, and, D.2.3, E2.2 and E.3.1.

E.5 Reporting quality principle A firm should establish formal and	E2.2 In its transparency report the firm should give details of any additional provisions from the UK Corporate Governance Code which it has adopted within its own governance structure. Renumbered
transparent arrangements for monitoring the quality of external reporting and for maintaining an appropriate relationship with the firm's auditors.	
E.5.1 The firm should establish an audit committee and disclose on its website information on the committee's membership and terms of reference which should deal clearly with its authority and duties, including its duties in relation to the appointment and independence of the firm's auditors. On an annual basis, the firm should publish a description of the work of the committee in discharging its duties.	E.4.1 The firm should establish an audit committee and disclose on its website information on the committee's membership and terms of reference which should deal clearly with its authority and duties, including its duties in relation to the appointment and independence of the firm's auditors. On an annual basis, the firm audit committee should publish a description of its work and how it has discharged its duties.
F.1.1 The firm should disclose on its website its policies and procedures, including contact details, for dialogue about matters covered by this Audit Firm Governance Code with listed company shareholders and listed companies. These disclosures should cover the nature and extent of the involvement of independent non-executives in such dialogue.	F.1.1 The firm should disclose on its website its policies and procedures, including contact details, for dialogue about matters covered by this Audit Firm Governance Code with listed company shareholders and listed companies. It should also report on the dialogue it has had during the year. These disclosures should cover the nature and extent of the involvement of independent non-executives in such dialogue.
Appendix 1 – Involvement of independent non-executives	Deleted
Appendix 2 – Independence considerations	Renumbered

Some rewording, primarily to clarify position of independent non-executives under the Ethical Standards and to provide further guidance on specific situations
New appendix added to provide an easy comparison with the Corporate Governance Code



Financial Reporting Council

8th Floor 125 London Wall London EC2Y 5AS

+44 (0)20 7492 2300

www.frc.org.uk